



Environmental Impact Assessment Report

Volume 5

Chapter 34 Summary of Residual Effects





Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Term in Full
AAM	Alternative alignment for the purposes of modelling
ATC	Air Traffic Control
CCRA	Climate Change Risk Assessment
CDWMP	Construction and Demolition Waste Management Plan
CWP	Codling Wind Park
DCIHR	Dublin City Industrial Heritage Record
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIAR	Environmental Impact Assessment Report
EMF	Electromagnetic field
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GHGA	Greenhouse Gas Assessment
INNS	Invasive non-native species
IRCG	Irish Coast Guard
LCA	Landscape Character Area
LoD	Limit of deviation
OECC	Offshore export cable corridor
OfTi	Offshore transmission infrastructure
OSS	Offshore substation structure
OTI	Onshore transmission infrastructure
OWF	Offshore wind farm
O&M	Operations and maintenance
PA	Preferred alignment
PTS	Permanent threshold shift
NM	Nautical mile
NSL	Noise Sensitive Location
RMP	Record of Monuments and Places
RPS	Record of Protected Structures
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SAR	Search and rescue

Page 3 of 50



SSC	Suspended sediment concentration
ТСА	Townscape Character Area
TTS	Temporary threshold shift
UXO	Unexploded ordnance
VERS	Valued ecological receptor species
WFD	Water Framework Directive
WTG	Wind turbine generator
ZTV	Zone of Theoretical Visibility



Definitions

Glossary	Meaning
array site	The red line boundary area within which the wind turbine generators (WTGs), inter-array cables (IACs) and the Offshore Substation Structures (OSSs) are proposed.
Codling Wind Park (CWP) Project	The proposed development as a whole is referred to as the Codling Wind Park (CWP) Project, comprising of the offshore infrastructure, the onshore infrastructure and any associated temporary works.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A systematic means of assessing the likely significant effects of a proposed project, undertaken in accordance with the EIA Directive and the relevant Irish legislation.
Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR)	The report prepared by the Applicant to describe the findings of the EIA for the CWP Project.
generating station	Comprising the wind turbine generators (WTGs), inter-array cables (IACs) and the interconnector cables.
landfall	The point at which the offshore export cables are brought onshore and connected to the onshore export cables via the transition joint bays (TJB). For the CWP Project The landfall works include the installation of the offshore export cables within Dublin Bay out to approximately 4 km offshore, where water depths that are too shallow for conventional cable lay vessels to operate.
limit of deviation (LoD)	Locational flexibility of permanent and temporary infrastructure is described as a LoD from a specific point or alignment.
O&M phase	This is the period of time during which the CWP Project will be operated and maintained.
offshore export cable corridor (OECC)	The area between the array site and the landfall, within which the offshore export cables cable will be installed along with cable protection and other temporary works for construction.
offshore transmission infrastructure (OfTI)	The offshore transmission assets comprising the OSSs and offshore export cables. The EIAR considers both permanent and temporary works associated with the OfTI.
onshore transmission infrastructure (OTI)	The offshore transmission assets comprising the OSSs and offshore export cables. The EIAR considers both permanent and temporary works associated with the OfTI.
wind turbine generator	All the components of a wind turbine, including the tower, nacelle and rotor.



34 SUMMARY OF RESIDUAL EFFECTS

34.1 Introduction

- 1. This chapter summarises the potential residual effects, which may result from the construction, operation and maintenance (O&M) and decommissioning phases of the Codling Wind Park (CWP) Project.
- 2. Residual effects are the final, predicted effects which are likely to occur after the proposed mitigation measures have been implemented. They refer to the degree of change that will occur after the proposed mitigation measures have taken effect.
- 3. **Table 34-1** presents the residual effect significance, following the implementation of mitigation as set out in **Chapters 6 32** of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR), and as summarised in **Chapter 33 Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring**. Please refer to **Chapters 6 32** of this EIAR for the full impact assessments.
- 4. The terminology used in this chapter to describe the residual significance of effects reflects the assessment terminology and guidelines used within **Chapters 6 32** of this EIAR. While the terminology in the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Draft Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (EPA 2017) is predominantly used, some chapters use discipline specific guidelines, and this terminology is presented within this summary chapter to maintain consistency with the assessments undertaken and presented in the EIAR chapters.

34.2 Representative scenario approach

- 5. Where the CWP Project planning application seeks design flexibility in the form of options (i.e. WTG layout options) or dimensional flexibility for infrastructure or installation methods, the impacts on the environment are assessed using a representative scenario approach.
- 6. To achieve this, and at the same time to produce application documents that are concise and readable, each relevant chapter of the EIAR identifies a representative scenario for each impact that forms the presentational basis of the assessment.
- 7. In addition, detailed consideration of the alternative scenario(s) is provided in an appendix to each relevant chapter, in order to demonstrate that the representative scenario for any given impact identifies, describes and assesses all of the likely significant effects on the environment. Where alternative scenarios could give rise to new effects or a materially different magnitude of effects, or will introduce a material change in the sensitivity of the receptor, these scenarios have been fully assessed and the results presented in the relevant EIAR chapter.
- 8. As noted above, this approach has been applied only where flexibility in project infrastructure design exists, either in terms of options or dimensional flexibility (i.e. a parameter range), or where flexibility for installation methods has been sought.
- 9. As is evident from **Chapter 4 Project Description**, the Applicant is seeking limited flexibility in relation to options and dimensional flexibility in relation to the generating station and offshore transmission infrastructure (OfTI) only, with some limited flexibility also being sought for installation methods associated with these main components (i.e. offshore cable installation techniques). Therefore, a representative scenario approach is applied only for EIA topics that consider the construction and operational impacts of the generating station and OfTI, using an appendix to the main EIAR chapter,

Page 6 of 50



as noted above. For the onshore components of the CWP Project, no flexibility is sought by the Applicant in terms of options, dimensional flexibility or construction methods, and therefore a representative scenario approach or a standalone appendix to consider alternative scenarios is not required for EIAR chapters that consider only these components.

10. Overall, the most significant component of the CWP Project for which flexibility is sought is the WTG size, and therefore the number of WTGs that will be installed. Two WTG layout options are therefore proposed. This forms a key consideration in the EIA. Consequently, **Table 34-1** provides a summary of the WTG layout option assessed as the representative scenario for each relevant impact in the EIA. As noted above, the other option is considered in representative Scenario appendices to relevant EIAR chapters.

34.3 Summary

34.3.1 Construction phase

- 11. In summary, during the construction phase of the CWP Project, significant residual effects for the project alone are predicted in **Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment** only. Landscape and visual impact assessment (LVIA) is the assessment of effects that might occur as a result of the onshore transmission infrastructure (OTI) on the landscape, and on people's views and visual amenity.
- 12. Whilst short term, reversible significant effects are predicted on two visual receptors from the CWP Project during construction, no significant landscape and visual effects are predicted during the operation of the project.

34.3.2 O&M phase

- 13. During the O&M phase, significant residual effects are predicted in **Chapter 15 Seascape, Landscape** and **Visual Impact Assessment** only (see Annex A of this summary chapter). The Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (SLVIA) describes and assesses the impacts of the CWP Project generating station (including the WTGs) and OfTI on seascape, landscape and townscape character, national designated landscapes, and visual receptors including main (named) settlements and key routes.
- 14. The coastal element of CWP Project's SLVIA study area includes a varied coastline of bays, headlands, points with rocky outcrops, arches, stacks and islands. The Dublin Hills and Wicklow Mountains form a backdrop to the coastal edge. Elevated ground, headlands and some straighter sections of the coast have expansive views out across a large-scale, simple seascape where the CWP array would form a relatively small component of the available views. Bays may offer more contained views and a greater focus on the more immediate coastal and defining landscape features whilst from other locations there are no seaward views.
- 15. Significant effects are predicted on SLVIA receptors from the CWP Project on its own and cumulatively with other developments on certain receptors. An effect that is assessed to be significant (and adverse) in landscape and visual terms does not necessarily mean that such an impact would be unacceptable or should necessarily be regarded as an "undue consequence" (GLVIA3 (Landscape Institute and IEMA, 2013) para 5.40). As such where significant effects have been identified, it is not necessarily the case that the effects are unacceptable in isolation or in aggregate.
- 16. Significant effects experienced by SLVIA receptors from the CWP Project would be directly from points opposite or close to the CWP Project's offshore infrastructure. The level of effects would diminish with

Page 7 of 50



distance from the Proposed Development. The angle of view alongside the influence of elements and features that screen, or filter views such as vegetation, built form also have an influence on the nature of views and the significance of effects on visual receptors and the aesthetic and perceptual qualities of local landscape townscape and seascape character.

17. The professional judgment of the assessors of the SLVIA concluded that the CWP Project could be accommodated in SLVIA terms.

34.3.3 Decommissioning phase

- 18. Generally, decommissioning of the CWP Project is anticipated to be a reverse of the construction and installation process, with construction activities such as vessel movements offshore and vehicle movements onshore expected to the same or less than that described for the construction phase of the project.
- 19. Consequently, it is generally anticipated that effects resulting from decommissioning shall be of a significance equivalent to or lesser than those predicted for construction activities.
- 20. Overall, during the decommissioning phase of the CWP Project, no significant residual effects have been predicted.



Table 34-1 Summary of Residual Effects

Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significar in EIA terms (Y / N)
Chapter 6 Marine Geology, Sediments and	Coastal Processes	•			•	
Construction						
Impact 1: Temporary disturbance of the seabed resulting from pre-installation methods and effects, cable and monopile installation, leading to increases in suspended sediment concentrations and associated deposition.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology, the prevailing hydrodynamic and wave regime and the sediment transport regime and coastal processes.	WTG Layout Option A	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Temporary disturbance of the seabed resulting from pre-sweeping / sandwave levelling activities, leading to increases in suspended sediment concentrations and associated deposition.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology, the prevailing hydrodynamic and wave regime and the sediment transport regime and coastal processes.	WTG Layout Option B	Negligible / Minor or Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible / Minor or Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Alteration to seabed morphology during seabed preparation.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology, the prevailing hydrodynamic and wave regime and the sediment transport regime.	WTG Layout Option B	Negligible / Minor or Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible / Minor or Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Localised alteration to the hydrodynamic, wave and sediment regimes and coastal processes.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology, the prevailing hydrodynamic and wave regime and the sediment transport regime and coastal processes.	WTG Layout Option A	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance			·			-
Impact 1: Localised alteration of hydrodynamic and wave conditions across the site and effects on the sediment transport regime and coastal processes.	Prevailing hydrodynamic, wave and sediment transport regimes, seabed morphology and coastal processes.	WTG Layout Option A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Scour around installed structures and associated sediment transportation and deposition, leading to changes in seabed composition, structure or morphology.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology.	WTG Layout Option A	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Operation and maintenance.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology.	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible / Minor (adverse)	N
Decommissioning	·	•	·	•		
Impact 1: Temporary increases in suspended sediment concentration during removal of foundations and / or cables.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology.			oning are considered to be equivaler en assessed as not significant.	nt to or lesser in nature	N

Page **9** of **50**

valent to or lesser in nature	Ν



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 2: Localised alteration of hydrodynamic and wave conditions across the site and effects on the sediment transport regime and coastal processes.	Hydrodynamic, wave and sediment regimes and wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology and coastal processes.		·	·	·	N
Impact 3: Alteration to seabed morphology during decommissioning.	Wider seabed, its morphology and underlying geology.					N
Chapter 7 Marine Water Quality	·					
Construction						
Impact 1: Direct temporary disturbance resulting in temporary increases in SSC	Offshore waters (>1 NM)	WTG Layout Option A	Not Significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not Significant (adverse)	N
	WFD waterbodies (<1 NM)		Slight to Slight / Not Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 7.10.1 of Chapter 7 Marine Water Quality.	Slight to Slight / Not Significant (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Direct disturbance resulting in	Offshore waters (>1 NM)	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
resuspension of contaminated sediments	WFD waterbodies (<1 NM)		Not Significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Accidental pollution events	Offshore waters (>1 NM)	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	WFD waterbodies (<1 NM)		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance	•	·	·		·	
Impact 1: Direct temporary disturbance resulting in temporary increases in SSC	Offshore waters (>1 NM)	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout	Not Significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not Significant (adverse)	N
	WFD waterbodies (< 1 NM)	options	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Direct disturbance resulting in resuspension of contaminated sediments	Offshore waters (> 1 NM)	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout	Not Significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not Significant (adverse)	N
	WFD waterbodies (< 1 NM)	options	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Accidental pollution events	Offshore waters (> 1 NM)	No difference in assessment	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	WFD waterbodies (< 1 NM)	details between WTG layout options	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Decommissioning		1		1	1	
Impact 1: Direct temporary disturbance	Offshore waters (> 1 NM)			oning are considered to be equivaler	nt to or lesser in nature	N
resulting in temporary increases in SSC	WFD waterbodies (< 1 NM)	than those considered for const	ruction activities, which have be	en assessed as not significant.		N
Impact 2: Direct disturbance resulting in	Offshore waters (> 1 NM)	1				N
resuspension of contaminated sediments	WFD waterbodies (< 1 NM)	1				N
Impact 3: Accidental pollution events	Offshore waters (> 1 NM)	7				N

Page 10 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
	WFD waterbodies (< 1 NM)		1	1	1	N
Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology		1				
Construction						
mpact 1: Temporary habitat disturbance	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Temporary increase in suspended sediment concentration (SSC)	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mud habitats		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Subtidal rock habitats		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mixed habitats		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Remobilisation of contaminated sediments	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mud habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal rock habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mixed habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Introduction of INNS	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats]	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mud habitats]	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N

Page 11 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
	Subtidal rock habitats		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mixed habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Accidental pollution events	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mud habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal rock habitats		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mixed habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance						
mpact 1: Long-term habitat loss	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
mpact 2: Habitat creation (increase in	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (positive)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (positive)	N
nard substrate)	Subtidal sand habitats		Slight (positive)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (positive)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Slight (positive)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (positive)	N
mpact 3: Temporary habitat disturbance	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	Ν
	River Liffey habitats		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y /
		(N/A – No consideration of WTGs)				N)
Impact 4: Presence of EMF and / or Temperature changes resulting from	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
presence of electrical infrastructure	Subtidal sand habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
npact 5: Introduction of INNS	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats	options	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mud habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal rock habitats		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mixed habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 6: Accidental pollution events	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal sand habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mud habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal rock habitats		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Subtidal mixed habitats		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Intertidal habitats		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	River Liffey habitats	-	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Decommissioning	•					
Impact 1: Temporary habitat disturbance	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats			oning are considered to be equivalen	t to or lesser in nature	N
	Subtidal sand habitats	than those considered for const	ruction activities, which have be	en assessed as not significant.		N
	Intertidal habitats	In relation to impact 4 specifical	v, the potential impact from dec	commissioning is considered to be eq	uivalent to or lesser in	N
	River Liffey habitats			naintenance which have been assess		N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation
Impact 2: Temporary increase in	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	,		1
suspended sediment concentration (SSC)	Subtidal sand habitats	1		
	Subtidal mud habitats	1		
	Subtidal rock habitats	1		
	Subtidal mixed habitats	1		
	Intertidal habitats	1		
	River Liffey habitats	1		
mpact 3: Remobilisation of contaminated	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	1		
sediments	Subtidal sand habitats	1		
	Subtidal mud habitats	1		
	Subtidal rock habitats	1		
	Subtidal mixed habitats	1		
	Intertidal habitats			
	River Liffey habitats			
mpact 4: Long-term habitat loss	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats			
	Subtidal sand habitats			
	River Liffey habitats	7		
Impact 5: Introduction of INNS	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	7		
	Subtidal sand habitats	7		
	Subtidal mud habitats	7		
	Subtidal rock habitats	7		
	Subtidal mixed habitats	7		
	Intertidal habitats	7		
	River Liffey habitats	7		
Impact 6: Accidental pollution events	Subtidal coarse sediment habitats	7		
	Subtidal sand habitats	7		
	Subtidal mud habitats	7		
	Subtidal rock habitats			
	Subtidal mixed habitats			
	Intertidal habitats]		
	River Liffey habitats			

Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
	N
	N
	Ν
	Ν
	Ν
	N
	Ν
	N
	N
	N
	N
	Ν
	N
	N
	N
	Ν
	N
	Ν
	N
	Ν
	Ν
	Ν
	Ν
	Ν
	Ν
	Ν
	Ν
	N
	Ν
	Ν
	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecolog	у	1				
Construction						
Impact 1: Temporary seabed habitat disturbance	Mobile fish with overlapping spawning and nursery habitat		Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Mobile fish without overlapping spawning and nursery habitat		Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Shellfish		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Noise and vibration	Group 1	(offshore pile driving and general construction noise) No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options (Geophysical surveys/UXO clearance)	Not significant to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Group 2		Not significant to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Group 3		Not significant to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Group 4		Not significant to slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant to slight (adverse)	N
	Shellfish		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Turtles		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	All species (UXO)		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
	All species (Geophysical Surveys / General Construction Noise)		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Migratory species (Barrier effects in the River Liffey)		Slight / Not significant to Very Significant / Profound (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 9.10.1 of Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology	Slight (adverse)	Ν
Impact 3: Temporary disturbance of the seabed leading to increases in SSC and	Mobile fish with overlapping spawning and nursery habitat	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant to Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant to Slight (adverse)	N
associated deposition.	Mobile fish without overlapping spawning and nursery habitat		Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Shellfish		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 4: Collision with vessels	Turtle / basking shark	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Accidental pollution events	All VERS species	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Impact 6: Invasive Non-native species (INNS)	All VERS species	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance		•	•	•		
Impact 1: Long term habitat loss	Mobile fish with overlapping spawning and nursery habitat	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Mobile fish without overlapping spawning and nursery habitat		Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Shellfish		Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) from cables	Elasmobranchs and turtles	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Other fish		Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Shellfish		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Operational noise	All receptors	WTG Layout Option A	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Temporary disturbance of the seabed including associated increases in	Mobile fish with overlapping spawning and nursery habitat	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant to slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant to slight (adverse)	N
SSC and deposition	Mobile fish without overlapping spawning and nursery habitat		Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to Slight / Not significant (adverse)	N
	Shellfish		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Collision with vessels	Turtle / basking shark	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Impact 6: Accidental pollution events	All VERS species	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N
Impact 7: Invasive Non-Native Species (INNS)	All VERS species	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Slight (adverse)	N

Page 16 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)		
Decommissioning								
Impact 1: Long- term habitat loss	All VERS species			ning is considered to be equivalent to which has been assessed as not sig		N		
Impact 2: Noise and vibration	All VERS species			oning are considered to be equivalent	t to or lesser in nature	N		
Impact 3: Temporary disturbance of the seabed including associated increases in SSC and deposition.	All VERS species	than those considered for constr	than those considered for construction activities, which have been assessed as not significant .					
Impact 4: Collision with vessels	Turtle / basking shark							
Impact 5: Accidental pollution events	All VERS species					N		
Impact 6: Invasive Non-Native Species (INNS)	All VERS species					N		
Chapter 10 Ornithology								
Construction								
Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Array site and OECC)	All seabird species	WTG Layout Option B (Array site) N/A (OECC)	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat	Oystercatcher	N/A	Not significant (adverse)	No specific additional mitigation is	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
(Intertidal OECC landfall)	Bar-tailed godwit		Not significant (adverse)	required, but seasonal and temporal restrictions to address	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
	Knot	7	Slight (adverse)	disturbance and displacement	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
	Dunlin		Slight (adverse)	impacts also alter receptor sensitivity and impact magnitude	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
	Common tern		Not significant (adverse)	in relation to direct effects on habitat as detailed in Section	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
	Arctic tern		Not significant (adverse)	10.10.2 of Chapter 10	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
	Roseate tern		Not significant (adverse)	Ornithology	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
	Other species		Imperceptible (adverse)		Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat	Greenfinch	N/A	Not significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
(Onshore)	Linnet		Not significant (adverse)	implemented detailed in Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Imperceptible (adverse)	N		
	sand martin		Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Not significant (adverse)	N		
Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Estuarine / Liffey)	Black guillemot	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Not significant (adverse)	N		



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (Array site)	Guillemot	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Razorbill		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Puffin		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Red-throated diver		Not significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Manx shearwater		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Gannet		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Migratory species		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Other seabird species			Screened out		
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement	Guillemot	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
(OECC)	Razorbill		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Puffin		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Red-throated diver		Not significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented, as detailed in Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Black guillemot		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Great northern diver		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Cormorant		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Shag		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Common scoter		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Other seabird species			Screened out	-	
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (Intertidal OECC landfall)	Light-bellied Brent Goose	N/A	PA & AAM ¹ - Slight (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented, asdetailed in	PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Shelduck		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Pintail		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N

¹ Where the application for permission seeks locational flexibility for infrastructure, the impacts on the environment are assessed using a Limit of Deviation (LoD). However, for the purposes of noise modelling within the intertidal an approach has been taken which identifies the alternative alignment for the purposes of modelling (AAM), which is the furthest distance that a specified element of the CWP Project can be constructed, alongside the preferred alignment (PA). The AAM is adopted to ensure that impacts from noise are considered from both the more central PA but also the peripheral areas from the intertidal sections of the OECC which maybe subject to noisy activities.



			1	
Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation
		(N/A – No consideration of WTGs)		
	Shoveler		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Teal		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Oystercatcher		PA & AAM - Slight (adverse)	
	Golden plover		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Grey plover		PA & AAM – Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Ringed plover		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Curlew		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Bar-tailed godwit		PA & AAM - Not significant (adverse)	
	Black-tailed godwit		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Turnstone		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Knot		PA & AAM - Significant (adverse)	
	Sanderling		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Dunlin		PA - Slight / AM - Significant (adverse)	
	Redshank		PA & AAM - Slight (adverse)	
	Black-headed gull		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	
	Great crested grebe		PA - Imperceptible / AAM - Slight (adverse)	
	red-breasted merganser		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)]
	Red-throated diver		PA & AAM – Imperceptible (adverse)]
	Herring gull		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	1

Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
	Little egret		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Greenshank		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Mediterranean gull		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Common gull		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Great black-backed gull		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Lesser black-backed gull		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Shag		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented, as detailed in Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Black guillemot		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Common scoter		PA & AAM - Not significant (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Grey heron		PA & AAM - Not significant (adverse)		PA & AAM - Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Common tern		PA & AAM - Significant (adverse)		PA & AAM - Slight (adverse)	N
	Arctic tern		PA & AAM - Significant (adverse)		PA & AAM - Slight (adverse)	N
	Roseate tern		PA & AAM - Significant (adverse)		PA & AAM - Slight (adverse)	N
	Sandwich tern		PA & AAM - Significant (adverse)		PA & AAM - Not significant (adverse)	N
	Other species			Screened out		
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (Onshore)	Light-bellied Brent Goose	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section	Not Significant (adverse)	N
· · ·	Greenfinch	7	Not Significant (adverse)	10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Linnet	1	Not Significant (adverse)		Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Peregrine falcon]	Not Significant (adverse)]	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Sand martin]	Not Significant (adverse)]	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement	Arctic tern	N/A	Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
(Estuarine / Liffey)	Black guillemot	7	Not Significant (adverse)	implemented detailed in Section	Imperceptible (adverse)	N

Page 20 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
	Black-headed gull		Not Significant (adverse)	10.10.2 of Chapter 10	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Common tern		Slight (adverse)	- Ornithology	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Changes in prey availability	Little tern	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
(Array site and OECC)	Other species		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Changes in prey availability	Oystercatcher	N/A	Not significant (adverse)	No specific additional mitigation is	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
(Intertidal OECC landfall)	Bar-tailed godwit	1	Not significant (adverse)	required, but seasonal and temporal restrictions to address	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Knot	2	Slight (adverse)	disturbance and displacement	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Dunlin		Slight (adverse)	impacts also alter receptor sensitivity and impact magnitude	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Common tern		Not significant (adverse)	in relation to direct effects on habitat as detailed in Section	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Arctic tern		Not significant (adverse)	10.10.2 of Chapter 10	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Roseate tern	1	Not significant (adverse)	Ornithology	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Other species		Imperceptible (adverse)		Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Pollution (Array Site, OECC and intertidal OECC landfall)	All species	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Imperceptible to slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible to slight (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Introduction of invasive non- native species (Array Site, OECC and intertidal OECC landfall)	All species	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Introduction of invasive non- native species (Onshore)	Light-bellied Brent Goose	N/A	Not significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 10.10.2 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance			•			
Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Array site and OECC)	All seabird species	WTG Layout Option B (array site) N/A (OECC)	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Intertidal OECC landfall)	All species	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (Array site)	Guillemot	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Razorbill		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Puffin		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Red-throated diver		Slight (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section	Slight (adverse)	N

Page 21 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
		WTGs)		10.10.3 of Chapter 10		
				Ornithology		
	Manx shearwater		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Gannet		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Migratory species		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Other seabird species			Screened out	·	
mpact 2: Disturbance and displacement (OECC)	Red-throated diver	N/A	Not significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 10.10.3 of Chapter 10 Ornithology	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Guillemot		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Razorbill		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Puffin		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Black guillemot		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Great northern diver		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Cormorant		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Shag		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Common scoter		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Other seabird species			Screened out	2	
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (Intertidal OECC landfall)	All species	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (Onshore)	All species			Screened out	1	1
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement	Common tern	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
(Estuarine / Liffey)	Arctic tern		Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Changes in prey availability (Array site and OECC)	All seabird species	WTG Layout Option A (array site) N/A (OECC)	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Changes in prey availability (Intertidal OECC landfall)	All species	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Pollution (Array site, OECC and intertidal OECC landfall)	All species	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N

Page 22 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 5: Introduction of invasive non- native species (Array site, OECC and intertidal OECC landfall)	All species	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	d Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 6: Collision	Kittiwake	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	d Slight (adverse)	N
(Array site)	Common gull	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse))	No additional mitigation required	d Imperceptible (adverse))	N
	Great black-backed gull	WTG Layout Option A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	d Slight (adverse)	N
	Herring gull	Both	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	d Slight (adverse)	N
	Common tern	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Gannet	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
	Migratory species	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	d Imperceptible (adverse)	N
	Other seabird species			Screened out		
Impact 2: Presence of onshore buildings /	Arctic tern	N/A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	d Slight (adverse)	N
infrastructure (Estuarine / Liffey)	Common tern		Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not significant (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						
Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Array site and OECC)	The corresponding potential impacts re have been assessed as not significan		considered to be equivalent to o	or lesser in nature than those cons	sidered for construction activiti	es, which
(Array site and OECC) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat			considered to be equivalent to o	or lesser in nature than those con	sidered for construction activiti	es, which
(Array site and OECC) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Intertidal OECC landfall) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat			considered to be equivalent to o Not significant (adverse)		sidered for construction activiti	es, which
(Array site and OECC) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Intertidal OECC landfall) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat	have been assessed as not significan	t.		No additional mitigation No required		
(Array site and OECC) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Intertidal OECC landfall) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat	have been assessed as not significan Greenfinch	t.	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation requiredNo no additional mitigation requiredNo no 	lot significant (adverse)	Ν
(Array site and OECC) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Intertidal OECC landfall)	have been assessed as not significan Greenfinch Linnet	t. N/A sulting from decommissioning are	Not significant (adverse) Not significant (adverse) Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation requiredNoNo additional mitigation requiredNoNo additional mitigation requiredNo	lot significant (adverse) lot significant (adverse) lot significant (adverse)	N N N
(Array site and OECC) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Intertidal OECC landfall) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Onshore) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat	have been assessed as not significan Greenfinch Linnet sand martin The corresponding potential impacts re	t. N/A sulting from decommissioning are	Not significant (adverse) Not significant (adverse) Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation requiredNoNo additional mitigation requiredNoNo additional mitigation requiredNo	lot significant (adverse) lot significant (adverse) lot significant (adverse)	N N N
(Array site and OECC) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Intertidal OECC landfall) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Onshore) Impact 1: Direct effects on habitat (Estuarine / Liffey) Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement	have been assessed as not significan Greenfinch Linnet sand martin The corresponding potential impacts re	t. N/A sulting from decommissioning are	Not significant (adverse) Not significant (adverse) Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation requiredNoNo additional mitigation requiredNoNo additional mitigation requiredNo	lot significant (adverse) lot significant (adverse) lot significant (adverse)	N N N

Page 23 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y /
		(N/A – No consideration of WTGs)				N)
(Intertidal OECC landfall)		•				
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (onshore)						
Impact 2: Disturbance and displacement (Estuarine / Liffey)						
Impact 3: Changes in prey availability (Array site and OECC)						
Impact 3: Changes in prey availability (Intertidal OECC landfall)						
Impact 4: Pollution (Array site, OECC and Intertidal OECC landfall)						
Impact 5: Introduction of invasive non- native species (Array site, OECC and Intertidal OECC landfall)						
Impact 5: Introduction of invasive non- native species (onshore)						
Chapter 11 Marine Mammals						
Construction						
Impact 1: Auditory injury (PTS) from pre-		No difference in assessment	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N

Impact 1: Auditory injury (PTS) from pre- construction surveys	All marine mammals	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Disturbance from pre- construction surveys	All marine mammals	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Negligible to Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible to Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Auditory Injury (PTS) from UXO		details between WTG lavout	Moderate (adverse)	Yes, both primary and additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 11.10.1 of Chapter 11 Marine Mammals	Negligible (adverse)	Ν
	All others		Minor (adverse)			
Impact 4: Disturbance from UXO (26 km EDR)	All marine mammals	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible to Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Disturbance from UXO (5 km EDR)			Negligible to Minor (adverse)			



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y /	
		(N/A – No consideration of WTGs)				N)	
Impact 4: Disturbance from UXO (TTS)			Minor (adverse)				
Impact 5: Auditory injury (PTS) from piling	Harbour porpoise	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	Yes, both primary and additional	Negligible (adverse)	N	
– WTGs	Dolphins		Negligible (adverse)	mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 11.10.1 of			
	Minke whale		Minor (adverse)	Chapter 11 Marine Mammals			
	Seals		Negligible (adverse)				
Impact 6: Disturbance from piling – WTGs	Harbour porpoise	WTG Layout Option A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible to Minor	N	
and OSSs	Dolphins		Negligible to Minor (adverse)		(adverse)		
	Minke whale		Negligible (adverse)				
	Harbour seal		Negligible (adverse)				
	Grey seal		Negligible (adverse)				
Impact 7: Auditory injury (PTS) from piling – onshore substation	All marine mammals	N/A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
Impact 8: Disturbance from piling – onshore substation	All marine mammals	N/A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
Impact 9: Auditory injury (PTS) from other	Minke whale	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible to Minor		
construction activities	All others		Negligible (adverse)		(adverse)	N	
Impact 10: Disturbance from other	Cetaceans	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible to Minor		N
construction activities	Seals		Negligible (adverse)		(adverse)		
Impact 11: Vessel collision	All marine mammals	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
Impact 12: Disturbance from vessels	All marine mammals	WTG Layout Option A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
Impact 13: Indirect impacts to prey	All marine mammals	As per Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology above.	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
Operations and maintenance	•				•		
Impact 1: Auditory Injury (PTS) from	Minke whale	Both	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible to Minor	N	
operational noise	All others		Negligible (adverse)		(adverse)		
Impact 2: Disturbance from operational	Minke whale	Both	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible to Minor	N	
noise	All others]	Negligible (adverse)]	(adverse)		
Impact 3: Vessel collision	All marine mammals	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	

Page 25 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 4: Disturbance from vessels	All marine mammals	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Indirect impacts to prey	All marine mammals	As per Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology above.	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						
Impact 1: Auditory injury (PTS) and disturbance from decommissioning	All marine mammals	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Vessel collision	All marine mammals	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Indirect impacts to prey	All marine mammals	N/A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Chapter 12 Commercial Fisheries						
Construction						
Impact 1: Loss of grounds or restricted access to fishing grounds within the array site	Potting: whelk		Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 12.10.1 of Chapter 12 Commercial Fisheries	Minor (adverse)	N
	Potting: crab and lobster		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Charter angling		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Aquaculture		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Loss of grounds or restricted access to fishing grounds within the OECC	Potting: whelk	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 12.10.1 of Chapter 12 Commercial Fisheries	Minor (adverse)	N
	Potting: crab and lobster		Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 12.10.1 of Chapter 12 Commercial Fisheries	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed	7	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N

Page 26 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y /
		(N/A – No consideration of WTGs)				N)
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal	1	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	Ν
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	Ν
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Charter angling		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Aquaculture		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	Ν
Impact 3: Displacement of fishing activity	Potting: whelk	site)	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
into other areas	Potting: crab and lobster		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Dredge: mussel seed	N/A (OLOO)	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
-	Dredge: razor clam		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Dredge: king scallop		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Charter angling		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Aquaculture		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Interference with fishing activities	Potting: whelk	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Potting: crab and lobster		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Dredge: mussel seed		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N

Page 27 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)	
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Charter angling		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Aquaculture		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
Impact 5: Potential for snagging of gear	Potting: whelk	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Potting: crab and lobster		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Dredge: mussel seed		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Dredge: razor clam		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Dredge: king scallop	N N N	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Charter angling	N/A					
	Aquaculture			N/A			
Impact 6: Increased steaming times to	Potting: whelk	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
fishing grounds	Potting: crab and lobster		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Dredge: mussel seed		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Dredge: king scallop		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N	
	Charter angling			N/A			
	Aquaculture			N/A			
Impact 7: Effects on commercially	Potting: whelk	As per Fish, Shellfish and	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
exploited species	Potting: crab and lobster	Turtle Ecology above.	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	
	Dredge: mussel seed	-	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N	

Page 28 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
	Dredge: razor clam		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish]	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Charter angling	1	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Aquaculture		•	N/A		
Operations and maintenance	•	·				
Impact 1: Loss of grounds or restricted access to fishing grounds within the array site	Potting: whelk		Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 12.10.2 of Chapter 12 Commercial Fisheries	Minor (adverse)	N
	Potting: crab and lobster		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed]	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam]	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Charter angling		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Aquaculture	1	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Loss of grounds or restricted	Potting: whelk	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
access to fishing grounds within the OECC	Potting: crab and lobster	1	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed]	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam	1	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop	1	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal]	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N

Page 29 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y /
		(N/A – No consideration of WTGs)				N)
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal	Ν	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Charter angling		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	Ν
	Aquaculture		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
mpact 3: Displacement of fishing activity nto other areas	Potting: whelk	WTG Layout Option A (array	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Potting: crab and lobster	site) N/A (OECC)	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Charter angling		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Aquaculture		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
mpact 4: Interference with fishing activities	Potting: whelk	No difference in assessment	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Potting: crab and lobster	details between WTG layout options	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Charter angling]	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Aquaculture		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
mpact 5: Potential for snagging of gear	Potting: whelk	WTG Layout Option A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N

Page 30 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
		WTGs)				
	Potting: crab and lobster	_	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed	_	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam	_	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Charter angling	N/A	N/A			
	Aquaculture	N/A				
Impact 6: Increased steaming times to	Potting: whelk	WTG Layout Option A (array site) N/A (OECC)	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
ishing grounds	Potting: crab and lobster		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Charter angling	N/A				
	Aquaculture	N/A				
Impact 7: Effects on commercially	Potting: whelk	As per Fish, Shellfish and	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
exploited species	Potting: crab and lobster	Turtle Ecology above.	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Dredge: mussel seed		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: razor clam		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Dredge: king scallop]	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Netting: Blonde ray, sole and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Beam trawl: sole and mixed flatfish	1	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N

Page 31 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
	Demersal otter trawl: nephrops and mixed demersal		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Pelagic trawl: sprat and herring		Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Charter angling]	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N
	Aquaculture	N/A				

Decommissioning

Chapter 13 Offshore Bats

The corresponding potential impacts resulting from decommissioning are considered to be equivalent to or lesser in nature than those considered for construction activities, which have been assessed as not significant.

Construction						
Impact 1: Disturbance	Offshore bats – foraging or migrating	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 13.9 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats. However, the additional measures	Not significant (adverse)	N
				outlined in Section 13.10.1 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats will be implemented as a matter of good practice.		
Impact 2: Lighting Foraging bats	Foraging bats	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 13.9 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats.	Not significant (adverse)	N
				However, the additional measures outlined in Section 13.10.1 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats will be implemented as a matter of good practice.		
Operations and maintenance						
Impact 1: Disturbance	Offshore bats – foraging or migrating	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 13.9 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats.	Not significant (adverse)	N
				However, the additional measures outlined in Section 13.10.2 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats will be implemented as a matter of good practice.		



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significar in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 2: Collision	Migrating bats	WTG Layout Option A	Slight for all species except Daubenton's, which would be Not significant	No additional mitigation is required.	Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Lighting	Offshore bats – foraging or migrating	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required.	Not significant (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						
Impact 1: Disturbance	Offshore bats – foraging or migrating	N/A	Not significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 13.9 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats. However, the additional measures outlined in Section 13.10.3 of Chapter 13 Offshore Bats will be implemented as a matter of good practice.	Not significant (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Lighting	Offshore bats – foraging or migrating	N/A	Not significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required.	Not significant (adverse)	N
Chapter 14 Marine Archaeology & Cultural H	leritage					
Construction						
Impact 1: Direct disturbance to seabed causing damage to receptors	Known and potential palaeogeography receptors	WTG Layout Option A	Slight to Significant, Slight to Profound (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 14.10.1 of Chapter 14 Marine Archaeology & Cultural Heritage.	Profound beneficial (as long as samples are retained, analysed and reported on by a qualified geoarchaeologist)	N
	Known and recorded maritime and aviation receptors (A1s)		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Slight (adverse)	N
	Geophysical anomalies of possible anthropogenic origin (A2s)		Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Slight (adverse)	N
	Currently unknown archaeological sites and artefacts		Profound (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 14.10.1 of Chapter 14 Marine Archaeology & Cultural Heritage.	Moderate beneficial	N
Impact 2: Indirect disturbance to receptors caused by changes to the hydrodynamic	Known and potential palaeogeography, maritime and	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No further mitigation is required	Not significant (adverse)	



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 1: Direct disturbance to previously not impacted seabed causing damage to receptors	Known and potential palaeogeography, maritime and aviation receptors	No difference in assessment details between WTG layout options	Profound (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 14.10.2 of Chapter 14 Marine Archaeology & Cultural Heritage.	Moderate beneficial (not significant)	N
Impact 2: Indirect disturbance to receptors caused by changes SSC and scour associated with installation structures	Known and potential palaeogeography, maritime and aviation receptors	WTG Layout Option A	Not significant (adverse)	No further mitigation is required	Not significant (adverse)	N

Decommissioning

The corresponding potential impacts resulting from decommissioning are considered to be equivalent to or lesser in nature than those considered for construction activities, which have been assessed as not significant.

Chapter 15 Seascape, Landscape Visual Impact Assessment

Construction, Operations and maintenance, and Decommissioning

The summary of effects in relation to Seascape, Landscape Visual Impact Assessment are included as an annex to this Appendix.

Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	
------------------------------------	--

Construction						
Impact 1: Vessel displacement leading to increased encounters and collision risk	All third-party vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Tolerable (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Increased collision risk (third party with project vessel)	All third-party vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 16.10.1 of Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	Tolerable (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Vessel to structure allision risk (vessel to structure)	All third-party vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Tolerable (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Reduction in emergency response capability	All third-party vessels, emergency responders	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 16.10.1 of Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	Tolerable (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Port access restrictions	Port services and users	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 16.10.1 of Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	Tolerable (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance						
Impact 1: Vessel displacement leading to increased encounters and collision risk	All third-party vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Tolerable (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Increased collision risk (third party with project vessel)	All third-party vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 16.10.2 of Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	Tolerable (adverse)	N



		(N/A – No consideration of WTGs)				terms (Y / N)			
mpact 3: Vessel to structure allision risk (vessel to structure)	All third-party vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Tolerable (adverse)	N			
mpact 4: Reduction in emergency response capability	All third-party vessels, emergency responders	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 16.10.2 of Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	Tolerable (adverse)	N			
mpact 5: Port Access Restrictions	Port services and users	WTG Layout Option A	Broadly acceptable (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Broadly acceptable (adverse)	N			
mpact 6: Reduction in under keel clearance	All third-party vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Tolerable (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 16.10.2 of Chapter 16 Shipping and Navigation	Tolerable (adverse)	N			
mpact 7:Anchor interaction with subsea cables	Anchored vessels	WTG Layout Option A	Broadly acceptable (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Broadly acceptable (adverse)	N			
Decommissioning	•			•					
mpact 1: Vessel displacement leading to ncreased encounters and collision risk	All third-party vessels	The corresponding potential impacts resulting from decommissioning are considered to be equivalent to or lesser in nature than those considered for construction activities, which have been assessed as not significant .							
mpact 2: Increased collision risk (third party with project vessel)	All third-party vessels								
mpact 3: Vessel to structure allision risk (vessel to structure)	All third-party vessels								
mpact 4: Reduction in emergency response capability	All third-party vessels, emergency responders								
mpact 5: Port Access Restrictions	Port services and users	N/A	Broadly acceptable (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Broadly acceptable (adverse)	N			
Chapter 17 Aviation, Military and Radar			·	·					
Construction									
mpact 1: Potential impact on Dublin Airport IFPs due to presence of wind urbines.	Dublin Airport IFPs	WTG Layout Option B	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N			
mpact 2: Physical obstructions to low lying aircraft (including IRCG SAR nelicopter operations) due to presence of obstacles (cranes, stationary wind curbines, offshore substation structure (OSS))	Low flying aircraft (including IRCG SAR helicopter operations)	WTG Layout Option B	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Negligible (adverse)	N			
Operations and maintenance									



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significar in EIA terms (Y / N)			
		WTGs)							
Impact 2: Potential impact on Met Eireann Dublin Airport meteorological radar due to presence of wind turbines	Met Eireann Dublin Airport meteorological radar	WTG Layout Option B	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Minor (adverse)	N			
Decommissioning	·		·						
Impact 1: Potential impact on Dublin Airport IFPs due to presence of wind turbines.	The corresponding potential impacts resulting from decommissioning are considered to be equivalent to or lesser in nature than those considered for construction activities, which have been assessed as not significant .								
Impact 2: Physical obstructions to low flying aircraft (including IRCG SAR helicopter operations) due to presence of obstacles (cranes, stationary wind turbines, offshore substation structure (OSS))									
Chapter 18 Material Assets - Marine Infrast	ructure								
Construction									
Impact 1: Direct effects on marine infrastructure	Subsea utilities (cables and pipelines)	WTG Layout Option A	Moderate (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented detailed in Section 18.10.1 of Chapter 18 Material Assets – Marine Infrastructure	Imperceptible (adverse)	N			
	Power plants' discharge channel (two power plants discharge to the river Liffey)								
Impact 2: Indirect effects on marine infrastructure	Subsea utilities (cables and pipelines)	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N			
	Oil and gas licensed exploration areas								
	Marine aggregates								
	Power plants' discharge channel (two power plants discharge to the river Liffey)								
Operations and maintenance	•								
Impact 1: Direct effects on marine infrastructure	Subsea utilities (cables and pipelines)	WTG Layout Option A	Moderate (adverse)	No additional mitigation required, in addition to primary mitigation detailed in Section 18.9 of Chapter 18 Material Assets – Marine Infrastructure	Imperceptible (adverse)	N			
	Power plants' discharge channel (two power plants discharge to the river Liffey)								
Impact 2: Indirect effects on marine infrastructure	Subsea utilities (cables and pipelines)	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N			
	Oil and gas licensed exploration areas								
	Marine aggregates								
	Power plants' discharge channel (two power plants discharge to the river Liffey)								



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 3: Interference of TV and radio reception	TV and radio reception	WTG Layout Option A	Imperceptible (adverse)	implemented detailed in Section 18.10.2 of Chapter 18 Material Assets – Marine Infrastructure	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Decommissioning		1	1			
Impact 1: Direct effects on marine	Subsea utilities (cables and pipelines)	The corresponding potential impacts resulting from decommissioning are considered to be equivalent to or lesser in nature				
infrastructure	Power plants' discharge channel (two power plants discharge to the river Liffey)	than those considered for construction activities, which have been assessed as not significant .				
Impact 2: Indirect effects on marine	Subsea utilities (cables and pipelines)					-
infrastructure	Oil and gas infrastructure					
	Marine aggregates					
	Power plants' discharge channel (two power plants discharge to the river Liffey)					
Chapter 19 Land Soils and Geology	·	·				
Construction						
Impact 1: Excavation of contaminated land	Underlying soils and construction workers	N/A	Slight/Not Significant (adverse) (onshore substation and along onshore export cable)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 19.10.1 of Chapter 19 Land, Soils and Geology.	Not Significant (adverse) (onshore substation and along onshore export cable)	N
			Moderate (adverse) (landfall)		Slight (adverse) (landfall)	N
Impact 2: Potential for release of ground gas	Construction workers	N/A	Moderate (adverse) (landfall)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 19.10.1 of Chapter 19 Land, Soils and Geology.	Slight (adverse) (landfall)	N
Impact 3: Soil settlement	Infrastructure & utilities	N/A	Slight (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 19.9 of Chapter 19 Land, Soils and Geology.	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
				However, the additional measures outlined in Section 19.10.1 of Chapter 19 Land, Soils and Geology will be implemented as a matter of good practice.		
Impact 4: Risk of leaks or spills impacting on land and soils	Underlying soils	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 19.9 of Chapter 19 Land, Soils	Imperceptible (adverse)	N

Page 37 of 50



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation and Geology. However, the	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
				measures outlined in Section 19.10.1 of Chapter 19 Land, Soils and Geology will also be implemented as a matter of good practice.		
Decommissioning						
Impact 1: Excavation of contaminated land	Underlying soils and construction workers	The corresponding potential imp than those considered for constr		oning are considered to be equivalent on assessed as not significant.	to or lesser in nature	N
Impact 2: Potential for release of ground gas	Construction workers					
Impact 3: Soil settlement	Infrastructure & utilities					
Impact 4: Risk of leaks or spills during decommissioning works impacting surrounding land and soils	Underlying soils					
Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology						
Construction						
Impact 1: Risk of leaks or spills impacting on groundwater quality.	Groundwater	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 20.10 of Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology. However, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 20.10.1 of Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology.	Imperceptible (adverse)	Ν
Impact 2: Mobilisation of historical contamination, resulting in in impacts to groundwater quality	Groundwater	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 20.10 of Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology . However, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 20.10.1 of Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology .	Imperceptible (adverse)	Ν
Impact 3: Discharge of water generated during the construction phase, resulting in impacts to groundwater quality	Groundwater	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 20.10 of Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology. However, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 20.10.1 of Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology.	Imperceptible (adverse)	Ν

Page 38 of 50

	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
n be jood		

on ogy er, 20 gy.	Imperceptible (adverse)	Ν
on ogy er, 20 gy.	Imperceptible (adverse)	Ν
on ogy er, 20	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
gy.		



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Operations and maintenance						
Impact 1: Alteration of the groundwater flow regime as a result of the presence of installed structures	Groundwater	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 20.10 . However, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 20.10.2	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Decommissioning	- -	·				
Impact 1: Accidental spillage or release of hydrocarbons or chemicals resulting in impacts to groundwater quality.	Groundwater	The corresponding potential imp than those considered for const		oning are considered to be equivalent en assessed as not significant.	t to or lesser in nature	N
Impact 2: Mobilisation of historical contamination, resulting in in impacts to groundwater quality.	Groundwater					
Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity		·				
Construction						
Impact 1: Temporary habitat loss	Habitats	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Impact 1: Permanent and temporary habitat loss			Significant (adverse)	Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Habitat degradation as a result of the introduction / spread of INNS	Habitats	N/A	Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Habitat degradation as a result of air quality impacts	Habitats	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 21.10 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity. However, the measures outlined in Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity will also be implemented as a matter of good practice.	Not Significant (adverse)	Ν
Impact 4: Permanent /temporary loss of breeding / resting places or commuting and/or foraging	Badger	N/A	Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity	Not Significant (adverse)	Ν
	Otter		Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section	Not Significant (adverse)	N
			Page 39 of 50			

Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)

	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
on		
d as		

be	Not Significant (adverse)	N
21	Not Significant (adverse)	Ν
be 21	Not Significant (adverse)	Ν
on e	Not Significant (adverse)	N
า ore		
jood		
be	Not Significant (adverse)	Ν
21		
on	Not Significant (adverse)	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	
				21.10 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity. However, the measures outlined in Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 21 Onsho Biodiversity will also be implemented as a matter of go practice.	
	Bats		Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will implemented as detailed in Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 2 Onshore Biodiversity	
	Other Mammal Species		Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will implemented as detailed in Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 2 Onshore Biodiversity	
Impact 5: Disturbance /displacement (noise, vibration and lighting) to protected	Badger	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary	
terrestrial species / other mammal species during construction phase activities	Otter		Not Significant (adverse)	mitigation described in Section 21.10 of Chapter 21 Onshorn Biodiversity. However, the	
	Bats		Not Significant (adverse)	measures outlined in Section 21.11.1 of Chapter 21 Onsho	
	Other Mammal Species		Not Significant (adverse)	 Biodiversity will also be implemented as a matter of ge practice. 	
Operations and maintenance			•		
Impact 1: Disturbance /displacement (noise and /or lighting) to protected	Badger	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary	
terrestrial species / other mammal species during operation and maintenance activities	Bats		Not Significant (adverse)	mitigation described in Section 21.10 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity. However, the	
	Otter		Not Significant (adverse)	measures outlined in Section 21.11.2 of Chapter 21 Onsho Biodiversity will also be implemented as a matter of go practice.	
	Other mammal species		Not Significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	
Decommissioning			•		
Impact 1: Permanent and temporary loss of habitat.	Habitats	The corresponding potential imp than those considered for const			
Impact 2: Habitat degradation as a result of the introduction / spread of INNS.	Habitats]			

	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
е		
n ore		
lood		
be 21	Not Significant (adverse)	N
be 21	Not Significant (adverse)	N
	Not Significant (adverse)	N
on e	Not Significant (adverse)	N
) ore	Not Significant (adverse)	N
ood	Not Significant (adverse)	N
	Not Significant (adverse)	N
on e	Not Significant (adverse)	N
ore	Not Significant (adverse)	N
ood		
	Not Significant (adverse)	N
ivalent t.	to or lesser in nature	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 3: Habitat degradation as a result of air quality impacts (dust).	Habitats	WTGs)				
Impact 4: Permanent / temporary loss of breeding / resting places or commuting and / or foraging habitat for protected terrestrial species.	 Badger Bats Otter Other mammal species 					
Impact 5: Disturbance / displacement (noise, vibration and lighting) to protected terrestrial species / other mammal species during decommissioning phase activities	 Badger Bats Otter Other mammal species 					
Construction, Operation & maintenance and	l decommissioning					
European sites	South Dublin Bay SAC	N/A	Construction and decommissioning phases: Significant (adverse) O&M phase: Not Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 21.12.1 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Designated sites	South Dublin Bay pNHA	N/A	Construction and decommissioning phases: Significant (adverse) O&M phase: Not Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 21.12.2 of Chapter 21 Onshore Biodiversity	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology, Architect	ural and Cultural Heritage					
Onshore						
Construction						
Impact 1: Permanent loss or disturbance of archaeological features or deposits located within the onshore development area and within the zone of archaeological potential for block house and fort (RMP DU019-027,	Block house and fort ZAP (RMP DU019-027, RPS 6794).	N/A	Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 22.1.10 of Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology, Architectural and Cultural	Slight (adverse)	N

Significant

(adverse)

Impact 2: Permanent loss or disturbance of

archaeological features or deposits located

within the onshore development area and

within the zone of archaeological potential

for the Ballast Wall, including the Pigeon

House harbour wall (RMP DU018-

066/DU019-029, RPS 6797).

RPS 6794)

N/A

Ballast Wall Zone of Archaeological

Potential and Pigeon Househarbour

wall, RMP DU018-066/DU019-029,

RPS 6797).

Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 22.1.10 of Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology, Architectural and Cultural Heritage	Slight (adverse)	Ν
Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 22.1.10 of Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology, Architectural and Cultural Heritage	Slight (adverse)	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 3: Permanent loss or disturbance of archaeological features or deposits that may survive beneath the current ground level within the onshore development area and outside of the designated Zones of Archaeological Potential.	Onshore development lands outside of designated Zones of Archaeological Potential	N/A	Slight - profound (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 22.1.10 of Chapter 22 Onshore Archaeology, Architectural and Cultural Heritage	Slight (adverse)	N
Impact 4: Temporary disturbance to the setting of recorded archaeological and built heritage sites, the Pigeon House Harbour Conservation Area and the DCIHR outfall works, during the construction phase	Recorded archaeological and built heritage sites (including Pigeon House Harbour Conservation Area and outfall works)	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	Due to the nature of the construction process, which is a visually intrusive operation, it is not possible to mitigate indirect impacts on the setting of sensitive receptors, although the duration of the impact will be short-term.	Moderate (adverse)	N
Impact 5: Temporary disturbance to the setting of the Dublin Port cultural heritage landscape, during the construction phase.	Dublin Port Landscape	N/A	Slight (adverse)	Due to the nature of the construction process, which is a visually intrusive operation, it is not possible to mitigate indirect impacts on the setting of sensitive receptors, although the duration	Slight (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance				of the impact will be short-term.		
Impact 1: Long term change to the setting of recorded archaeological and built heritage sites, the Pigeon House Harbour Conservation Area and DCIHR outfall works, due to the presence of the onshore substation	Recorded archaeological and built heritage sites (including Pigeon House Harbour Conservation Area and outfall works	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Long term change to the setting of the Dublin Port cultural heritage landscape, due to the presence of the onshore substation.	Dublin Port Landscape	N/A	Slight (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Slight (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						
Impacts on the receiving environment due to the removal of the OTI	The settings of onshore archaeological, built heritage and cultural heritage sites	The corresponding potential imp than those considered for constr		oning are considered to be equivalent en assessed as not significant.	t to or lesser in nature	N
Offshore						
Operations and maintenance						
Permanent disturbance to the setting of archaeological and architectural heritage sites directly linked to the coast, within the Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV), from offshore turbines (WTG Layout Option A and WTG Layout Option B).	The setting of archaeological and architectural heritage sites directly linked to the coast.	Both	Ranges from Imperceptible to Moderate (adverse)	It is not possible to mitigate impacts upon sensitive receptors due to the fact that the offshore turbines are a minimum distance of approximately 13 km offshore.	Ranges from Imperceptible to Moderate (adverse)	N
			Page 42 of 50			

Page 42 of 50

valent to or lesser in nature	Ν



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
Chapter 23 Landscape and Visual Impacts			1	1	I	
Construction						
Impact 1: Impacts on landscape features within the onshore development area.	Specimen trees	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Amenity screen planting	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
	Naturally regenerated scrub	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	Ν
Impact 2: Impacts on landscape / townscape character.	Poolbeg Peninsula TCA	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Mudflats LCA	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Impacts on visual amenity.	Viewpoint 1: Bull Wall	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 2: Great South Wall	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 3: Pigeon House Road	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 4: Sandymount Promenade	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 5: Sandymount Strand	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate (adverse)	Y
	Viewpoint 6: Clontarf Promenade	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 7: Strand Road	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 8: Dublin Port Ferry Terminal	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate (adverse)	N
	Footpath between Sandymount and the Great South Wall	N/A	Moderate (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate (adverse)	Y
	Pigeon House Road	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance						
Impact 1: Impacts on landscape features within the onshore development area.	Specimen trees	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Amenity screen planting	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N

Page **43** of **50**



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
	Naturally regenerated scrub	N/A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Impacts on landscape / townscape character.	Poolbeg Peninsula TCA	N/A	Negligible (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Negligible (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Impacts on visual amenity.	Viewpoint 1: Bull Wall	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 2: Great South Wall	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 3: Pigeon House Road	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 4: Sandymount Promenade	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 5: Sandymount Strand	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 6: Clontarf Promenade	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 7: Strand Road	N/A	Minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Minor (adverse)	N
	Viewpoint 8: Dublin Port Ferry Terminal	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor (adverse)	N
	Footpath between Sandymount and the Great South Wall	N/A	Ranges from moderate-minor (adverse) to minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Ranges from moderate- minor (adverse) to minor (adverse)	N
	Pigeon House Road	N/A	Moderate-minor (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate-minor ((adverse)	N
Decommissioning	•		•	•		
Impact 1: Impacts on landscape features within the onshore development area.	Specimen treesAmenity screen plantingNaturally regenerated scrub	The corresponding potential imp than those considered for const		oning are considered to be equivalen assessed as not significant.	ent to or lesser in nature	N
Impact 2: Impacts on landscape / townscape character.	Poolbeg Peninsula TCAMudflats LCA]				

Impact 3: Impacts on visual amenity.

• Viewpoint 1: Bull Wall

Promenade

• Viewpoint 4: Sandymount

•

•

Viewpoint 2: Great South Wall

Viewpoint 3: Pigeon House Road

• Viewpoint 5: Sandymount Strand • Viewpoint 6: Clontarf Promenade Viewpoint 7: Strand Road

valent to or lesser in nature	Ν



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
	 Viewpoint 8: Dublin Port Ferry Terminal Footpath between Sandymount and the Great South Wall Pigeon House Road 			·	·	
Chapter 24 Noise and Vibration						
Construction						
Impact 1 to 6: Construction noise associated with OTI and OfTI (intertidal area)	NSLs	N/A	Ranges from Not Significant to Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 24.9.1 of Chapter 24 Noise and Vibration	Not Significant to Moderate	N
Impact 7 to 11: Construction vibration associated with OTI and OfTI (intertidal	VSRs (cosmetic damage to buildings)	N/A	Ranges from Not Significant to Significant (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 24.9.1 of Chapter 24 Noise and Vibration	Not Significant (adverse)	N
area)	VSRs (Human response to vibration)	N/A	Ranges from Not Significant to Significant (adverse)		Not Significant to Moderate (adverse)	N
Impact 12: Temporary road traffic noise evel at NSLs due to construction traffic	NSLs	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Impact 13: Temporary noise level at onshore NSLs associated with the WTG monopiling	NSLs	Both	Not Significant (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance		Ι	Ι	1	1	1
Impact 14a: Permanent noise level at onshore NSLs associated with the WTG (Option A)	NSLs	WTG Layout Option A	As the predicted noise levels f further consideration of operat	or either option are below the 35 dB ional noise from the WTGs.	L_{A90} threshold, there is no	N
Impact 14b: Permanent noise level at onshore NSLs associated with the WTG (Option B)	NSLs	WTG Layout Option B				N
Impact 15: Permanent noise level at NSLs associated with the onshore substation operational plant	NSLs	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 24.9.4 of Chapter 24 Noise and Vibration	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						
Impact 16 to 18: Decommissioning activities	The corresponding potential impacts res activities, which have been assessed as		considered to be equivalent to o	or lesser in nature than those conside	ered for construction	N
Chapter 25 Air Quality						

5 dB L	A90 threshold, there is no	Ν
		N
be 1	Imperceptible (adverse)	Ν

onsidered for construction	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
Construction			•			
Impact 1: Impact of construction dust from earthworks, construction and trackout in terms of dust soiling, human health and ecosystems	Residential, commercial and ecological receptors	N/A	Slight (adverse)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 25.10.1 Chapter 25 Air Quality.	Not Significant (adverse)	N
Operations and maintenance	- -	^	·			
N/A	Residential, commercial and ecological receptors	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						
Impact 1: Dust soiling from decommissioning activities in terms of dust soiling, human health and ecosystems.	Residential, commercial and ecological receptors	the exception of the demolition of	of the OTI infrastructure. Howeve	construction phase and have been a er, the same mitigation measures imp vorks and are also considered approp	lemented during the	Ν
Chapter 26 Material Assets - Built Services						
Construction						
Impact 1: Disruption to Utility Assets	Utility Assets	N/A	Slight (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 26.9 of Chapter 26 Material Assets – Built Services. However, the measures outlined in Section 26.10.2 of Chapter 26 Material Assets – Built Services will be implemented as a matter of good practice.	Slight (adverse)	Ν
Decommissioning						
Impact 1: Disruption to Utility assets	Utility Assets	The corresponding potential imp than those considered for const		oning are considered to be equivalent en assessed as not significant.	t to or lesser in nature	Ν
Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport						
Construction						
Impact 1: Construction Stage Traffic Network	All road users	N/A	Ranges from Imperceptible to Moderate-Slight (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 27.10 of Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. However, the measures outlined in Section 27.12 of Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport will be implemented as a matter of good practice.	Ranges from Imperceptible to Slight (adverse)	N
Impact 2: Construction Stage Traffic - Junctions	All road users	N/A	Ranges from Imperceptible to Slight (adverse)		Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Impact 3: Construction Stage Traffic – Pedestrian and Cyclists Accessibility	Pedestrian, and cyclists	N/A	Imperceptible (adverse)		Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						

Page 46 of 50

	Residual significance of effect	Significant in EIA terms (Y / N)
		-
be	Not Significant	Ν
Air	(adverse)	
ired	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
es imp	ssessed as such, with lemented during the rriate for the	Ν

	Slight (adverse)	N
on		
ned er 26 vices tter of		

on and	Ranges from Imperceptible to Slight (adverse)	N
ned	Imperceptible (adverse)	Ν
27 e jood	Imperceptible (adverse)	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)
Impact 1: Decommissioning Stage Traffic Network	All road users			oning are considered to be equivalen en assessed as not significant.	t to or lesser in nature	N
Impact 2: Decommissioning Stage Traffic - Junctions	All road users					N
Impact 3: Decommissioning Stage Traffic – Pedestrian and Cyclists Accessibility	Pedestrian, and cyclists					N
Chapter 28 Climate - Carbon Balance Asses	sment	·				
Construction						
Impact 1: GHGA: GHG emissions associated with the OTI and offshore infrastructure throughout the CWP Project's lifecycle (construction, O&M, and decommissioning phases)	Climate	OTI and offshore infrastructure	Significant (beneficial)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 28.10.1 of Chapter 28 Climate – Carbon Balance Assessment.	Significant (beneficial)	Y (beneficial)
Operations and maintenance						
Impact 1: GHGA emissions associated with the OTI and offshore infrastructure throughout the CWP Project's lifecycle (construction, O&M, and decommissioning phases)	Climate	OTI and offshore infrastructure	Significant (beneficial)	Yes, additional mitigation will be implemented as detailed in Section 28.10.1 of Chapter 28 Climate – Carbon Balance Assessment.	Significant (beneficial)	Y (beneficial)
Impact 2: CCRA – CWP Project OTI and offshore infrastructure vulnerability to climate change (construction, O&M, and decommissioning phases)	Climate	OTI and offshore infrastructure	Imperceptible (adverse)	No additional mitigation is required	Imperceptible (adverse)	N
Decommissioning						
Impact 1: GHGA emissions associated with the OTI and offshore infrastructure throughout the CWP Project's lifecycle (construction, O&M, and decommissioning phases)	Climate	OTI and offshore infrastructure	Significant (beneficial)	No additional mitigation is required	Significant (beneficial)	Y (beneficial)
Chapter 29 Population						
Construction						
Impact 1: Impacts on onshore and nearshore recreation receptors during the construction of the OTI and landfall.	Recreational receptors	N/A	Slight (adverse)	Additional mitigation is not required beyond the primary mitigation described in Section 29.9 of Chapter 29 Population.	Not Significant (adverse)	N
				However, the measures outlined in Section 29.10.1 of Chapter 29 Population will be implemented as a matter of good practice.		



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)		
Impact 2: Impact on the tourism economy during the construction phase of the offshore infrastructure.	Tourism economy	N/A	Not Significant (neutral)	No additional mitigation is required	Not Significant (neutral)	N		
Impact 3: Economic effects associated with construction of the CWP Project.	Surrounding economy	N/A	Moderate–Slight (beneficial)	No additional mitigation is required	Moderate–Slight (beneficial)	N		
Operations and maintenance		1	1	1	I			
Impact 1: Impacts on recreational receptors associated with the O&M phase of the offshore infrastructure	Recreational receptors	Impacts on recreation receptors Chapter 15 Seasc Chapter 16 Shipping 	ape, Landscape and Visual Imp			-		
Impact 2: Impacts on the tourism economy associated with the O&M phase of the offshore infrastructure.	Tourism economy	N/A	Not Significant (neutral)	N/A	Not Significant (neutral)	N		
Impact 3: Economic effects associated with the O&M of the CWP Project.	Surrounding economy	N/A	Moderate–Slight (beneficial)	N/A	Moderate–Slight (beneficial)	N		
Decommissioning	·		·					
Onshore transmission infrastructure and landfall	The corresponding potential impacts reactivities, which have been assessed a		considered to be equivalent to	or lesser in nature than those con	sidered for construction	N		
Offshore Infrastructure								
Chapter 30 Human Health								
Construction, Operation and maintenance, a	and Decommissioning Phases							
Impact 1: Air Quality – health impacts due to air emissions (dusts emissions, traffic emissions)	Human Health receptors	construction, O&M and decomm	issioning phases of the CWP P	e potential environmental impact roject. vironmental factors reviewed in te		N		
Impact 2: Noise and Vibration – health impacts due to noise and vibration emissions		 noise, vibration, water, land and Chapter 19 Land, Soils a 	soils) are outlined in their respe and Geology;	ective topic chapters of this EIAR:		N		
Impact 3: Water Quality – health impacts related to water quality (emissions to water, contamination)		 Chapter 20 Hydrology and Hydrogeology; Chapter 24 Noise and Vibration; Chapter 25 Air Quality; and Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. 						
Impact 4: Land and Soils – health impacts due to soil contamination	Overall, the human health impact assessment concludes that with the standard best practice mitigation measures applied, any effects on human health, from the construction, O&M, and decommissioning phases, would be not significant in EIA terms.							
Impact 5: Traffic – health impacts due to traffic disruption within the local road network								
Chapter 31 Waste & Resource Managemen	t							

onsidered for construction	N

cts on human health from the	N
terms of human health (i.e., air, २:	N
	N
itigation measures applied, any not significant in EIA terms.	N
C C	N



Potential Impact	Receptor	WTG layout option assessed as representative scenario in main chapter (N/A – No consideration of WTGs)	Significance of effect (pre- mitigation)	Additional mitigation	Residual significance of effect	Significan in EIA terms (Y / N)	
Impact 1 : Generation and management of excavated materials	Waste and resource management receptors	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	None, other than that outlined within the CDWMP and Chapter 19 Land, Soils and Geology.	Imperceptible (adverse)	N	
Impact 2 : Generation and management of waste (other than excavated materials) associated with the installation of the OTI and landfall	Waste and resource management receptors	N/A	Not Significant (adverse)	None, other than that outlined within the CDWMP .	Imperceptible (adverse)	N	
Decommissioning			·				
Impact 1: Generation and management of excavated materials	Waste and resource management receptors	The corresponding potential impacts resulting from decommissioning are considered to be equivalent to or lesser in nature than those considered for construction activities, which have been assessed as not significant.					
Impact 2: Generation and management of waste (other than excavated materials) associated with the decommissioning of the OTI and landfall	Waste and resource management receptors					N	
Chapter 32 Risk of Major Accidents and Dis	asters						
Construction, Operations and maintenance,	and Decommissioning Phases						
Potential environmental impacts on risk of major accidents and disasters from the	N/A	 Chapter 32 Risk of Major Accidents and Disasters of the EIAR has assessed the potential environmental impacts on risk of major accidents and disasters from the construction, O&M and decommissioning phases of the CWP Project. Error! Reference source not found. of Chapter 32 Risk of Major Accidents and Disasters confirms the significance of any residual effects following the application of additional mitigation measures. Following the assessment with additional mitigation measures, it was concluded that there will not be any significant environmental effects arising from the risk of major accidents and / or natural disasters. 					
construction, O&M and decommissioning phases of the CWP Project.							

valent to or lesser in nature	Ν
	N

nvironmental impacts on risk of CWP Project.	Ν
confirms the significance of any	
ere will not be any significant	



ANNEX A SLVIA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Page 50 of 50



Table 15-23 Summary of potential impacts and residual effects (Seascape)

Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Seascape								
Construction / deco	mmissioning							
Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors v during construction. Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during	RSCA 13	Medium	Medium Large Short term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	RSCA 14	Medium	Medium Large Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	RSCA 15	High–Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	RSCA 16	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 132 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
decommissioning								
Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night-time impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during construction. Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night- time impacts on	RSCA 13	Medium	Low Medium Short term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	RSCA 14	Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	RSCA 15	High–Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during decommissioning	RSCA 16	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 133 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option B		Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Operation / Mainter	nance							
Impact 1: Direct/ indirect long-term, although reversible impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated landscapes and	RSCA 13	Medium	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	RSCA 14	Medium	High Large Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
visual receptors during operation / maintenance	RSCA 15	High–Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	RSCA 16	Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
Impact 2: Direct / indirect long-term, although reversible night- time impacts on	RSCA 13	Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 134 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	RSCA 14	Medium	Medium– Low Medium- Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	RSCA 15	High–Medium	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	RSCA 16	Medium	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 135 of 253



653. In **Table 15-24**, where the baseline and environmental effects are the same, LCAs / TCAs have been grouped for ease of presentation.

Table 15-24 Summary of potential impacts and residual effects (landscape and townscape character)

Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	A	WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect

Visual amenity - sequential routes

Construction / decommissioning

Impact 1:	Fingal County	Council Land	scape Characte	er Types and Ar	eas						
Direct / indirect	LCT 1 Coastal	LCT 1 Coastal									
temporary impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during construction.	LCA 1a Rush LCA 1b Portrane LCA 1c Porthmarnock	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCA1d Howth LCA1e Ireland's Eye	High	Low- Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight-Not Significant (not significant)			
Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary	LCA1f Lambay Island	High– Medium	Negligible Small Short term	Not Significant (not	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not			

Page 136 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual				
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect				
impacts on seascape /			Intermediate or Wide	significant)				significant)				
landscape/ townscape /	LCT 2 Estuary	LCT 2 Estuary										
national designated landscapes and visual receptors during decommission ing	LCA 2a Rogerstown LCA 2b Swords / Mlahide LCA 2c Balydole	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)				
-	LCT 3 High-lying agricultural land											
	LCT 3 High lying agricultural land	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)				
	LCT 4 Low-lying	agricultural la	nd	•		-	<u>.</u>	-				
	LCA 4a Dublin airport LCA 4b Lusk	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)				
	LCT 5 Rolling hi	Ils with tree be	elts									

Page 137 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LCA 5 Rolling hills with tree belts	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate/ localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCT 6 River Va	lleys / Canals						·
	LCA4a Tolka and Liffey Valleys	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Dublin Townsca	ape Character	Assessment			•		·
	TCA 2 Dublin Docklands	Low	Negligible Small Short term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	TCA 6 North Bull Island	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight–Not significant (not significant)	Low - Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)

Page 138 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	4	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual		
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect		
	TCA 7 Poolbeg Peninsula	Low	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Not significant (not significant)	Low - Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
	TCA 8 Sandymount	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
	TCA 12 St Anne's Park	High– medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
	South Dublin Landscape Character Assessment									
	LCA Dodder and Glensamole	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Localised / Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
	Dun Laoghaire	Landscape C	haracter Areas			-				

Page 139 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LCA 5. Kiltiernan Plain LCA	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 6. Ballycorus	Medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 7. Glencullen Valley	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 8 Glendoo Valley	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 9 Barnacullia	Medium	Negligible Small Short term	Not Significant	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant

Page 140 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	Residual effect (not significant) Slight (not significant) Slight (not significant) Slight (not significant) Slight (not significant)
			Intermediate	(not significant)				`
	LCA 10 Rathmichael	Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Wide/ Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	
	LCA 11 Ballyman	Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	
	LCA 12 Shanganagh	Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	ų į
	LCA 13 Carrickmines	Medium- Low	Negligible Small Short term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)

Page 141 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LCA 14 Cherrywood / Rathmichael	Medium– Low	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	Dun Laoghaire	Townscape (Character Areas	5		1		
	TCA 2 Dun Laoghaire / Monkstown	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– small Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	TCA 4 Dalkey	High– medium	Low Medium Short term Limited	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	TCA 5 Dalkey Island	High– medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	TCA 6 Killiney Bay TCA 7 Shankill	High– medium	Low Medium Short term	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 142 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect Imperceptible (not significant) Not Significant (not significant) Not Significant (not significant) Not Significant (not significant) Not Significant (not significant)			
			Localised								
	TCA 8 Loughlinstown Commons / Ballybrack TCA 10 Woodside / Ballyogan	Medium– Low	Negligible Small Short term Localised	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	(not			
	TCA 9 Carrick Mines Wood	Medium– Low	Negligible Small Short term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Significant (not			
	Wicklow Lands	cape Catego	ries and Lands	cape Areas			•	·			
	LC 1 Mountain a	LC 1 Mountain and Lakeshore AONB									
	LA 1a The Mountain Uplands	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Significant (not			
	LA 1c The Bray Mountain Group	High– Medium	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)			

Page 143 of 253



Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual		
	sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect		
		Medium– Small Short term Intermediate							
LA 1d The North Eastern Valley	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)		
LC 2 Coastal Area AONB									
LA 2a The Northern Coastal Area	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)		
LA 2b Southern Coastal Area	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)		
	LA 1d The North Eastern Valley LC 2 Coastal An LA 2a The Northern Coastal Area LA 2b Southern	LA 1d The High– North Eastern High– Valley High– LC 2 Coastal Area AONB LA 2a The High– Northern High– Coastal Area High– LA 2b High– Southern High– Medium High–	sensitivityMagnitude of impactLA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- Small Short term IntermediateLA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- Small Short term IntermediateLC 2 Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium- Small Short term IntermediateLA 2a The Northern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium Medium Short term IntermediateLA 2b Southern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium- Medium Short term Intermediate	sensitivityMagnitude of impactSignificance of effectLA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- Small Short term IntermediateModerate- Slight (not significant)LA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- Small Short term IntermediateModerate- Slight (not significant)LA 2d The Northern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium Medium Short term IntermediateModerate (not significant)LA 2a The Northern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium Medium Short term IntermediateModerate (not significant)LA 2b Southern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- Low Medium- SmallModerate- Slight (not significant)	sensitivityMagnitude of impactSignificance of effectMagnitud e of impactLA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- Small Short term IntermediateModerate- Slight (not significant)Medium- Low LowLC 2 Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium Medium Short term IntermediateModerate- Slight (not significant)Medium- LowLA 2a The Northern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium Medium Short term IntermediateModerate (not significant)Medium- LowLA 2b Southern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- Short term IntermediateModerate- (not significant)Medium- LowLA 2b Southern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- SmallModerate- Slight (not significant)Medium- Low	sensitivityMagnitude of impactSignificance of effectMagnitud e of impactSignificance of effectLA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- Small Short term LowModerate- Slight (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- Slight (not significant)Medium- LowLA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- LowModerate- Slight (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- LowLC 2 Coastal AreaAONBMedium MediumModerate (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- Significant)LA 2a The Northern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium Medium Short term IntermediateMedium (not significant)Medium Moderate (not significant)Medium Moderate (not significant)Medium Moderate (not significant)LA 2b Southern Coastal AreaHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- SmallModerate- Slight (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- Significant)	sensitivityMagnitude of impactSignificance of effectMagnitud e of impactSignificance of effectmitigationLA 1d The North Eastern ValleyHigh- MediumMedium- Low Medium- Small Short term IntermediateModerate- Slight (not significant)Medium- Low Medium- LowMedium- Low Slight (not significant)Medium- Low Medium- LowMedium- Low Slight (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- Low Slight (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- LowMedium- Slight (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- LowMedium- LowMedium- Slight (not significant)Medium- LowMedium- Slight (not significant)EmbeddedLC 2 Coastal AreaHigh- Medium Coastal AreaMedium Medium Short term IntermediateModerate (not significant)Medium Slight (not significant)Medium Medium- LowMedium- Slight (not Significant)EmbeddedLA 2b Southern Coastal AreaHigh- Medium Medium- SmallMedium- Slight (not Significant)Medium- Low Slight (not Significant)Medium- Low Slight (not Significant)Embedded		

Page 144 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LA 3a North Eastern Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LA 3b South East Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium- small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LA 3C Southern Hills	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LC 4 Corridor A	rea					I	I
	LA 4a NR11	Medium– Low	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 145 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual		
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect		
			Localised							
	LC 5 Rolling Lov	vland Areas 1-	-6	•		-	-			
	LC 5 Lowlands– Rolling Lowland Areas 1–6	Medium– Low	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
	LC 6 Urban Areas									
	TCA 6a Greystones 6d Wicklow	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)		
	TCA 6b Kilcoole TCA 6c Newcastle	Medium– Low	Medium– Low Medium- small Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)		

Page 146 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	on B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	Residual effectNot Significant (not significant)Not Significant (not significant)Not Significant (not significant)Not Significant (not significant)Not Not Not
	TCA 6I Arklow TCA 6v Bray	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium- small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low - Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Significant (not
	Wexford Lands	cape Charact	ter Assessment	t and Landscap	e Character I	Units		
	LCU 1 Uplands	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Significant (not
	LCU 2 Lowlands	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Significant (not
	LCU 4 Coastal	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 147 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional mitigation	Residual	
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect		effect	
			Short term						
			Localised						
	LCU 5 Distinctiv	e LCU							
	LCU 5a Kilmichael Point	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)	
	LCU 5b Ask Hill LCU 5c Tara Hill LCU 5d Ballyminaun Hill	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)	
Impact 2:	Fingal County Council Landscape Character Types and Areas								
Direct / indirect temporary nighttime impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape /	LCT 1 Coastal								
	LCA 1a Rush LCA 1b Portrane LCA 1c Porthmarnock	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)	

Page 148 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option B		Additional	Residual		
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect		
national designated landscapes and visual receptors during construction	LCA 1d Howth LCA 1e Ireland's Eye	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight-Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight-Not Significant (not significant)		
Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night-time	LCA 1f Lambay Island	High– Medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate or Wide	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
mpacts on	LCT 2 Estuary									
seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during	LCT 2a Rogerstown LCT 2b Swords / Mlahide LCT 2c Balydole	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
decommission	LCT 3 High lying	LCT 3 High lying agricultural land								
ing	LCT 3 High lying agricultural land	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		

Page 149 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	4	WTG Optio	n B	Additional mitigation	Residual			
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect		effect			
			Intermediate								
	LCT 4 Low lying	LCT 4 Low lying agricultural land									
	LCA 4a Dublin airport LCA 4b Lusk	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)			
	LCT 5 Rolling hi	LCT 5 Rolling hills with tree belts									
	LCT 5 Rolling hills with tree belts	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate/ localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	6 River Valleys	6 River Valleys / Canals LCT									
	LCA 6a Tolka and Liffey Valleys	High Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	Dublin Townsc	Dublin Townscape Character Assessment									
	TCA 2 Dublin Docklands	Low	Negligible Small Short term	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)			

Page 150 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor sensitivity	WTG Option	A	WTG Option B		Additional	Residual
			Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Limited					
	TCA 6 North Bull Island	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight–Not significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not significant (not significant)
	TCA 7 Poolbeg Peninsula	Low	Low– Negligible Medium- small Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	TCA 8 Sandymount	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium- small Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	TCA 12 St Anne Park	High– medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 151 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor sensitivity	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option B		Additional	Residual			
			Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
	South Dublin L	South Dublin Landscape Character Assessment									
	Dodder and Glensamole LCA	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Localised / limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	Dun Laoghaire Landscape Character Areas										
	LCA 5. Kiltiernan Plain	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCA 6. Ballycorus	Medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCA 7. Glencullen Valley	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			

Page 152 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual effect
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	
	LCA 8. Glendoo Valley	High– medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 9. Barnacullia	Medium	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 10. Rathmichael	Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Wide/ Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	LCA 11. Ballyman	Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	LCA 12. Shanganagh	Medium	Medium– Low Medium	Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 153 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Short term Intermediate					
	LCA 13 Carrickmines	Medium– Low	Negligible Small Short term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	CA 14 Cherrywood / Rathmichael	Medium– Low	Negligible Small Short term Intermediate	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	Dun Laoghaire	Townscape (Character Areas	5		•		
	TCA 2 Dun Laoghaire / Monkstown	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium- small Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	TCA 4 Dalkey	High– Medium	Low Medium Short term Limited	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 154 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual	
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect	
	TCA 5 Dalkey Island	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)	
	TCA 6 Killiney Bay TCA 7 Shankill	High– Medium	Low Medium Short term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)	
	TCA 8 Loughlinstown Commons / Ballybrack TCA 10 Woodside / Ballyogan	Medium– Low	Negligible Small Short term Localised	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)	
	TCA 9 Carrick Mines Wood	Medium– Low	Negligible Small Short term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)	
	Wicklow Lands	cape Catego	ries and Lands	cape Areas	·				
	LC 1 Mountain a	LC 1 Mountain and Lakeshore AONB							

Page 155 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LA1a The Mountain Uplands	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LA 1c The Bray Mountain Group	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LA1d The North Eastern Valley	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LC 2 Coastal Ar	ea AONB		•		-	-	2
	LA 2a The Northern Coastal Area	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 156 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LA 2b Southern Coastal Area	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium- small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LC 3 Areas of H	igh Amenity						I
	LA 3a North Eastern Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LA 3b South East Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LA 3c Southern Hills	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 157 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Localised					
	Corridor Area	-		•	-	-	-	
	LA 4a NR11	Medium– Low	Low– Negligible Medium– mall Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LC 5 Lowlands-	Rolling Lowlar	nd Areas 1–6			•	•	·
	LC 5 Lowlands– Rolling Lowland Areas 1–6	Medium– Low	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	6 Urban Areas					•		
	TCA 6a Greystones TCA 6d Wicklow TCA	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 158 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	TCA 6b Kilcoole TCA 6c Newcastle	Medium– Low	Medium– Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	TCA 6I Arklow TCA 6v Bray	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Wexford Lands	cape Charact	er Assessmen	t and Landscap	e Character l	Jnits		
	LCU 1 Uplands LCU	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCU 2 Lowlands	High– Medium	Negligible Small Short term	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 159 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
			Intermediate / Localised								
	LCU 4 Coastal	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCU 5 Distinctiv	LCU 5 Distinctive									
	LCU 5a Kilmichael Point	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCU 5b Ask Hill LCU 5c Tara Hill LCU 5d Ballyminaun Hill	High– medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			

Page 160 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
Operation / Mai	ntenance										
Impact 1:	Fingal County Council Landscape Character Types and Areas										
Direct/ indirect long-term,	LCT 1 Coastal										
although reversible impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	LCA 1a Rush LCA1b Portrane LCA1c Porthmarnock	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCA1d Howth LCA 1e Ireland's Eye	High	Low Small Long term Intermediate or Wide	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)			
	LCA1f Lambay Island	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate or Wide	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)			
	LCT 2 Estuary										
	LCA 2a Rogerstown LCA2 b	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term	Not Significant	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant			

Page 161 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	A	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual	
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect	
	Swords / Malahide LCA 2c Balydole		Intermediate	(not significant)				(not significant)	
	LCT 3 High-lying	g agricultural la	and			1			
	LCT 3 High lying agricultural land	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)	
	LCT 4 Low-lying agricultural land								
	LCA 4a Dublin airport LCA 4b Lusk	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)	
	LCT 5 Rolling hi	lls with tree be	lts						
	LCT 5 Rolling hills with tree belts	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)	
	LCT 6 River Val	leys / Canals	•					·	

Page 162 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LCT 6a Tolka and Liffey Valleys	High- Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Dublin Townsc	ape Characte	r Areas	-		-	-	2
	TCA 2 Dublin Docklands	Low	Negligible Small Long term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	TCA 6 North Bull Island	High	Low Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	TCA 7 Poolbeg Peninsula	Low	Low Small Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	TCA 8 Sandymount	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 163 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	A	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual		
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect		
	TCA 10 St Anne's Park	High– medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)		
	South Dublin L	andscape Ch	aracter Assess	ment		•				
	LCA Dodder and Glensamole	High– medium	Negligible Small Long term Localised / Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
	Dun Laoghaire Landscape Character Areas and Townscape Character Areas									
	LCA 5. Kiltiernan Plain	High– medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)		
	LCA 6. Ballycorus	Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)		

Page 164 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LCA 7. Glencullen Valley	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	LCA 8. Glendoo Valley	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	LCA 9. Barnacullia	Medium	Low - Negligible Small- negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 10. Rathmichael	Medium	Medium Medium Long term Wide / intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 165 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LCA 11. Ballyman	Medium	Medium Medium Long term intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	LCA 12. Shanganagh	Medium	Medium Medium Long term wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	LCA 13 Carrickmines	Medium– Low	Negligible Small negligible Long term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	LCA 14 Cherrywood / Rathmichael	Medium– Low	Low– Negligible Small- negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not significant (not significant)
	Dun Laoghaire	Townscape C	Character Areas	5		-	-	2
	TCA 2 Dun Laoghaire / Monkstown	High– medium	Low Medium	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Long term Limited					
	TCA 4 Dalkey	High– medium	Low Medium- Long term Limited	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	TCA 5 Dalkey Island	High– Medium	Medium Medium Long term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	TCA 6 Killiney Bay TCA 7 Shankill	High– Medium	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	TCA 8 Loughlinstown Commons / Ballybrack TCA 10 Woodside / Ballyogan	Medium– Low	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 167 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
	TCA 9 Carrick Mines Wood	Medium– Low	Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	Wicklow Lands	cape Catego	ries and Lands	cape Areas				I			
	LC 1. Mountain and Lakeshore AONB										
	LA 1a The Mountain Uplands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)			
	LA1c The Bray Mountain Group	High– Medium	High– Medium Large– Medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)			
	LA 1d The North Eastern Valley	High– Medium	Medium Medium Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)			

Page 168 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LC 2 Coastal Ar	ea AONB						
	LA 2a The Northern Coastal Area	High– Medium	High– Medium Large medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
	LA 2b Southern Coastal Area	High– Medium	High– Medium Large– Medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
	LC 3 Areas of H	igh Amenity						_
	LA 3a North Eastern Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium Medium Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	LA 3b South East Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium Medium– Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 169 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual				
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect				
	LA 3c Southern Hills	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)				
	LC 4 Corridor A	rea				1	<u> </u>	1				
	LA 4a NR11	Medium– Low	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)				
	LC 5 Rolling Lov	LC 5 Rolling Lowland Areas 1–6										
	LC 5 Lowlands– Rolling Lowland Areas 1-6	Medium– Low	Low Medium– Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)				
	LC 6 Urban Area	as										
	TCA 6a Greystones	High– Medium	Medium Medium–	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)				

Page 170 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual		
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect		
	TCA 6d Wicklow		Long term Intermediate							
	TCA 6b Kilcoole TCA 6c Newcastle	Medium– Low	Medium Medium– Long term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)		
	TCA 6I Arklow TCA 6v Bray	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Small Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)		
	Wexford Landscape Character Assessment and Landscape Character Units									
	LCU 1 Uplands	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)		
	LCU 2 Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)		

Page 171 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
	LCU 4 Coastal	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)			
	5 Distinctive LCU										
	LCU 5a Kilmichael Point	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)			
	LCU 5b Ask Hill LCU 5c Tara Hill LCU 5d Ballyminaun Hill	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)			
Impact 2:	Fingal County	Council Land	scape Characte	er Types and Ar	eas	•		•			
Direct / indirect long-	LCT 1 Coastal										
erm, although eversible night-time mpacts on seascape / andscape/	LCA1a Rush LCA1b Portrane LCA1c Porthmarnock	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	LCA1d Howth LCA1e Ireland's Eye	High	Low- Negligible small Long term Intermediate / Wide	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCA1f Lambay Island	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Small – Negligible Long term Intermediate or Wide	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	2 Estuary LCT										
	LCA 2a Rogerstown LCA 2b Swords / Mlahide LCA 2c Balydole	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			

Page 173 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	A	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
	LCT 3 High-lying	g agricultural la	and LCT	-		-	-	-			
	LCT 3 High- lying agricultural land	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCT 4 Low-lying	agricultural la	nd LCT			-	<u>.</u>				
	LCA 4a Dublin airport LCA4b Lusk	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)			
	5 Rolling hills wi	5 Rolling hills with tree belts LCT									
	5 Rolling hills with tree belts	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate/ localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	6 River Valleys	Canals LCT		-		-	-	-			
	LCA 6a Tolka and Liffey Valleys	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			

Page 174 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Dublin Townsc	ape Characte	r Areas					
	TCA 2 Dublin Docklands	Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	TCA 6 North Bull Island	High	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Intermediate	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight-Not significant (not significant)
	TCA 7 Poolbeg Peninsula	Low	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Localised / Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	TCA 8 Sandymount	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 175 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
			Localised / Limited								
	TCA 10 St Anne's Park	High– medium	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	South Dublin L	andscape Ch	aracter Assess	ment							
	LCA Dodder and Glensamole	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	Dun Laoghaire	Dun Laoghaire Landscape Character Areas and Townscape Character Areas									
	LCA 5. Kiltiernan Plain	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Localised/ Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCA 6. Ballycorus	Medium	Negligible Small Long term	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			

Page 176 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	4	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Intermediate / Localised					
	LCA 7. Glencullen Valley	High– Medium	Negligible Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 8. Glendoo Valley	High– Medium	Negligible Small Long term Intermediate / localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 9. Barnacullia	Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCA 10. Rathmichael	Medium	Low Small Long term Wide / intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	LCA 11. Ballyman	Medium	Low Small	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 177 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Long term intermediate					
	LCA 12. Shanganagh	Medium	Low Small Long term wide	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	LCA 13 Carrickmines	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	LCA 14 Cherrywood / Rathmichael	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Intermediate	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	Dun Laoghaire	Townscape (haracter Areas	5		•		
	TCA 2 Dun Laoghaire / Monkstown	High– Medium	Negligible Small Long term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	TCA 4 Dalkey	High– Medium	Negligible Small Long term	Not Significant	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant

Page 178 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Limited	(not significant)				(not significant)
	TCA 5 Dalkey Island	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Wide	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	TCA 6 Kiliney Bay TCA 7 Shankill	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	TCA 8 Loughlinstown Commons / Ballybrack TCA 10 Woodside / Ballyogan	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Localised	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
	TCA 9 Carrick Mines Wood	Medium– Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Wicklow Lands	cape Catego	ries and Lands	cape Areas				
	LC 1.Mountain a	and Lakeshore	AONB					

Page 179 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	LA 1a The Mountain Uplands	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Small Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LA 1c The Bray Mountain Group	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LA1d The North Eastern Valley	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	LC 2 Coastal Ar	ea AONB	•					
	LA 2a The Northern Coastal Area	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 180 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
			Intermediate								
	LA 2b Southern Coastal Area	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)			
-	LC 3 Areas of H	LC 3 Areas of High Amenity									
	LA 3a North Eastern Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)			
	LA 3b South East Mountain Lowlands	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)			
	LA 3c Southern Hills	High– Medium	Low Small Long term	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)			

Page 181 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual				
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect				
			Localised									
	LC Corridor Area	а										
	LA 4a NR11	Medium– Low	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (Not significant)	Low	Slight (Not significant)	Embedded	Slight (Not significant)				
	LC Rolling Lowla	LC Rolling Lowland Areas 1–6										
	LC Lowlands– Rolling Lowland Areas 1–6	Medium– Low	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)				
	LC 6 Urban Area	as				•	I	I				
	TCA 6a Greystones TCA 6d Wicklow	High– Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)				
	TCA 6b Kilcoole	Medium– Low	Medium– Low	Slight (Not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (Not significant)	Embedded	Slight (Not significant)				

Page 182 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual			
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect			
	TCA 6c Newcastle		Medium– Small Long term Localised								
	TCA 6I Arklow TCA 6v Bray	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	Wexford Lands	Wexford Landscape Character Assessment and Landscape Character Units									
	LCU 1 Uplands	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)			
	LCU 2 Lowlands	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)			
	LCU 4 Coastal	High– Medium	Low– Negligible	Not Significant	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant			

Page 183 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Optio	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitud e of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Small– Negligible	(not significant)				(not significant)
			Long term Localised					
	LCU 5 Distinctiv	/e		·			•	·
	LCU 5a Kilmichael Point	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Localised / Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	LCU 5b Ask Hill LCU 5c Tara Hill LCU 5d Ballyminaun Hill	High– Medium	Low– Negligible Small– Negligible Long term Localised / Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 184 of 253



Table 15-25 Summary of potential impacts and residual effects (National Designated Landscape)

Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
National Designate	d Landscapes							
Construction / deco	ommissioning							
Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape /	Howth SAA (Landscape)	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
national designated landscapes and visual receptors during construction.	Howth SAA (Visual)	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape /	North Bull Island (Landscape)	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during	North Bull Island (Visual)	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)

Page 185 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	ו B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
construction	Bray Head (Landscape)	High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Bray Head (Visual)	High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night-time impacts on seascape /	Howth SAA (Landscape)	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
andscape/ cownscape / national designated andscapes and	Howth SAA (Visual)	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
visual receptors during construction. Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night-	North Bull Island (Landscape)	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
ime impacts on seascape /	North Bull Island	High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small	Slight–Not Significant	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant

Page 186 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
townscape / national designated landscapes and	(Visual)		Short term Intermediate / Localised	(not significant)		(not significant)		(not significant)
visual receptors during decommissioning.	Bray Head (Landscape)	High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Bray Head (Visual)	High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
Operation / Mainter	nance	-	-			<u>.</u>	-	
Impact 1: Direct / indirect long-term, although reversible impacts on seascape /	Howth SAA (Landscape)	High	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate– Slight Significant (not significant)	Low	Moderate– Slight Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and	Howth SAA (Visual)	High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 187 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	ו B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
visual receptors during operation / maintenance	North Bull Island (Landscape)	High	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate– Slight Significant (not significant)	Low	Moderate– Slight Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	North Bull Island (Visual)	High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Bray Head (Landscape)	High	High–Medium Large–medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
	Bray Head (Visual)	High	High–Medium Large–medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
Impact 2: Direct / indirect long-term, although reversible night- time impacts on	Howth SAA	High	Low–Negligible Small–Negligible Long term Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
seascape / landscape/	Howth SAA (Visual)	High	Low–Negligible Small–Negligible	Slight–Not Significant	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant

Page 188 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
townscape / national			Long term Localised	(not significant)		(not significant)		(not significant)
designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	North Bull Island (Landscape)	High	Low–Negligible Small–Negligible Long term Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
	North Bull Island (Visual)	High	Low–Negligible Small–Negligible Long term Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
	Bray Head (Landscape)	High	Medium–Low Medium–small Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Bray Head (Visual)	High	Medium–Low Medium–small Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 189 of 253



Table 15-26 Summary of potential impacts and residual effects (Viewpoint Assessment)

Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Visual Amenity - Vi	ewpoints							
Construction decor	nmissioning							
Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and	Viewpoint 1: Howth AV 17–18 degrees Distance 29.2 km Sit above the horizon	High V - National S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
visual receptors during construction. Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on	Viewpoint 2: North Bull Island AV 18 degrees Distance 32 km Sit above the horizon	High V - National S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
seascape / andscape/ ownscape / national designated andscapes and visual receptors during	Viewpoint 3: Great South Wall, Poolbeg AV 14 degrees Distance 31 km Sit above the	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term intermediate/localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 190 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
decommissioning.	horizon							
	Viewpoint 4: Dun Laoghaire AV 14–16 degrees Distance 31.5 km Sit above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 5: Killiney AV 25–26 degrees Distance 22 km Sit above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate / localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 6: Hill at Carrickgollogan AV 24–25 degrees Distance 23 km Sit above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate / localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 191 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 7: Bray Promenade AV approx. 27 degrees Distance 18 km Sit above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate / localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 8: Bray Head AV approx. 38 degrees Distance 17 km Sits above the horizon	High V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 9: Great Sugar Loaf AV approx. 25– 28 degrees Distance 18 km Sits below the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 10: Greystones	High– Medium	Medium Large	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant

Page 192 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	AV approx. 44 degrees Distance 15 km Sits above the horizon	V - County S - High	Short term Wide / Intermediate					
	Viewpoint 11: Kilcoole (Railway Station) AV approx. 57 degrees Distance 14 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Large Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 12: Six Mile Point, Newcastle AV approx. 63 degrees Distance 13 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Large Short-term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 13: Wicklow Town Av approx. 48 degrees	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Short term	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant

Page 193 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Distance 13 km Sits above the horizon		Wide / Intermediate					
	Viewpoint 14: Djouce Mountain Av approx. 31 degrees Distance 26 km Sits below the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate / LLocalised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 15: Brockagh Mountain Av approx. 23 degrees Distance 34 km Sits below the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 18: Brittas Bay Av approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Medium– Slight (not significant)

Page 194 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 19: Arklow Pier (south side) Av approx. 21 degrees Distance 30 km Seen in context with Arklow OWF Sits above the	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	horizon Viewpoint 20: Kilmichael Point Av approx. 18 degrees Distance 36 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Negligible Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 21: Shankill Beach Av approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 195 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 22: Three Rock Mountain Av approx. 25 degrees Distance 29 km Sitting above landform Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Medium Short term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 23: Maheramore Beach Av approx. 34 degrees Distance 14 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight not significant	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight not significant
	Viewpoint 24: Kilcoole Rock Av approx. 52 degrees Distance 15 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 196 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 26: Greystones Beach Bear AV 15 degrees Distance 14.7 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Short term Wide/ Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary nighttime impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape /	Viewpoint 1: Howth AV 17–18 degrees Distance 29.2 km	High V National S High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance during	Viewpoint 2: North Bull Island AV 18 degrees Distance 32 km	High V - National S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/localised	Slight (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
construction. Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary nighttime impacts	Viewpoint 3: Great South Wall, Poolbeg AV 14 degrees Distance 31 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
on seascape /	Viewpoint 4:	High–	Low–Negligible	Not	Low–	Not	Embedded	Not

Page 197 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
landscape/ towns cape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	Dun Laoghaire AV 14–16 degrees Distance 31.5 km	Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/localised	Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Significant (not significant)		Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 5: Killiney AV 25–26 degrees Distance 22 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Medium Short term Intermediate/localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 6: Hill at Carrickgollogan AV 24–25 degrees Distance 23 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Medium Short term Intermediate/localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 7: Bray Promenade AV approx. 27 degrees Distance 18 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
V	Viewpoint 8: Bray Head	High V - local / county	Medium–Low Medium Short term	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 198 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	AV approx. 38 degrees Distance 17 km	S - High	Intermediate					
(Viewpoint 9: Great Sugar Loaf AV approx 25– 28 degrees Distance 18 km	High- Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 10: Greystones AV approx 44 degrees Distance 15 km	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide/ Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 11: Kilcoole (Railway Station) AV approx. 57 degrees Distance 14 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Large Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 12: Six Mile Point, Newcastle	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Large Short-term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant

Page 199 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	AV approx. 63 degrees Distance 13 km							
	Viewpoint 13: Wicklow Town AV approx. 48 degrees Distance 13 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Short term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 14: Djouce Mountain AV approx. 31 degrees Distance 26 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate/ Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 15: Brockagh Mountain AV approx. 23 degrees Distance 34 km (further away compared to Great Sugar Loaf and Djouce)	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Page 200 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 18: Brittas Bay AV approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km (further away compared to Great Sugar Loaf and Djouce)	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 19: Arklow Pier (south side) AV approx. 21 degrees Distance 30 km Seen in context with Arklow	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Medium-Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low- Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 20: Kilmichael Point AV approx. 18 degrees Distance 36 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Negligible Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 21: Shankill Beach	High– Medium	Low Medium–	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 201 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	AV approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km	V - County S - High	Short term Intermediate / Localised					
	Viewpoint 22: Three Rock Mountain AV approx. 25 degrees Distance 29 km Sitting above landform	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Medium Short term Intermediate /localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 23: Maheramore Beach AV approx. 34 degrees Distance 14 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 24: Kilcoole Rock AV approx. 52 degrees Distance 15 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 202 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Operation / Mainten	Viewpoint 26: Greystones Beach Bear AV 15 degrees Distance 14.7 km Sits above the horizon	High- Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide/ intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
Operation / Mainter	nance							
Impact 1: Direct / indirect long-term, although reversible impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	Viewpoint 1: Howth AV 17–18 degrees Distance 29.2 km	High V - National S - High	Medium–Low Medium–small Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 2: North Bull Island AV 18 degrees Distance 32 km	High V - National S - High	Medium–Low Medium–small Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 3: Great South Wall, Poolbeg AV 14 degrees Distance 31 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–small Long term Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 203 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 4: Dun Laoghaire AV 14–16 degrees Distance 31.5 km	High- Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–small Long term Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 5: Killiney AV 25–26 degrees Distance 22 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 6: Hill at Carrickgollogan AV 24–25 Distance 2 3km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 7: Bray Promenade AV approx. 27 degrees Distance 18 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term intermediate Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 8: Bray Head	High V - Local /	High–Medium Large–medium	Significant (significant)	High	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant

Page 204 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	AV approx. 38 degrees Distance 17 km Tipping point - distance	County S - High	Long term Intermediate					
	Viewpoint 9: Great Sugar Loaf AV approx. 25– 28 degrees Distance 18 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	High–Medium Large-medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
	Viewpoint 10: Greystones AV approx. 44 degrees Distance 15 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	High Large Long term Intermediate	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)
	Viewpoint 11: Kilcoole (Railway Station) AV approx. 57 degrees Distance 14 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	High Large Long term Wide	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)
	Viewpoint 12: Six Mile Point, Newcastle	High– Medium	High Large	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)

Page 205 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	AV approx. 63 degrees Distance 13 km		Long term Wide					
	Viewpoint 13: Wicklow Town AV approx. 48 degrees Distance 13 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	High–Medium Large–medium Long term intermediate	Significant (significant)	High- Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
	Viewpoint 14: Djouce Mountain AV approx. 30 degrees Distance 27 km (further away compared to Great Sugar Loaf)	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 15: Brockagh Mountain AV approx. 23 degrees Distance 34 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 206 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Skyline interrupt horizon							
	Viewpoint 18: Brittas Bay AV approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km Seen in context with Arklow WF	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term Intermittent / Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 19: Arklow Pier (south side) AV approx. 21 degrees Distance 30 km Seen in context with Arklow WF	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Moderate– Slight not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight not significant
	Viewpoint 20: Kilmichael Point AV approx. 18 degrees Distance 36 km See in context with Arklow WF	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 207 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 21: Shankill Beach AV approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term intermediate Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate- (not significant)
	Viewpoint 22: Three Rock Mountain AV approx. 25 degrees Distance 29 km Sitting above landform	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Viewpoint 23: Maheramore Beach AV approx. 34 degrees Distance 14 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	High–Medium Large–Medium Long term Intermittent/ Localised	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant
	Viewpoint 24: Kilcoole Rock AV approx. 52 degrees Distance 15 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	High Large Long term Wide	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant

Page 208 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Impact 2: Direct /	Viewpoint 26: Greystones Beach Bear AV 15 degrees Distance 14.7 km Sits above the horizon	High– Medium V - County S - High	High Large Long term Intermediate	Very Significant (significant)	High	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)
although reversible night- time impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance .	Viewpoint 1: Howth AV 17–18 degrees Distance 29.2 km	High V - National S - High	Low–Negligible Small Long term Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 2: North Bull Island AV 18 degrees Distance 32 km	High V - National S - High	Low–Negligible Small Long term Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 3: Great South Wall, Poolbeg Av 14 degrees Distance 31 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low–Negligible Small Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 4:	High–	Low–Negligible	Not	Low-	Not	Embedded	Not

Page 209 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Dun Laoghaire AV 14–16 degrees Distance 31.5 km	Medium V - County S - High	Small Long term Localised	Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Significant (not significant)		Significant (not significant)
	Viewpoint 5: Killiney AV 26 degrees Distance 22 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 6: Hill at Carrickgollogan AV 24–25 Distance 23km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 7: Bray Promenade AV approx. 27 degrees Distance 18 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 8: Bray Head AV approx. 38 degrees	High V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 210 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Distance 17 km							
	Viewpoint 9: Great Sugar Loaf AV approx. 25– 28 degrees Distance 18 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant
	Viewpoint 10: Greystones AV approx. 44 degrees Distance 15 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant
	Viewpoint 11: Kilcoole (Railway Station) AV approx. 57 degrees Distance 14 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight not significant
	Viewpoint 12: Six Mile Point, Newcastle AV approx. 63 degrees Distance 13 km	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight not significant

Page 211 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 13: Wicklow Town AV approx. 48 degrees Distance 13 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight not significant
	Viewpoint 14: Djouce Mountain AV approx. 31 degrees Distance 26 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 15: Brockagh Mountain AV approx. 23 degrees Distance 34 km (further away compared to Great Sugar Loaf)	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long-term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 18: Brittas Bay AV approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight not significant

Page 212 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 19: Arklow Pier (south side) AV approx. 21 degrees Distance 30 km Seen in context with Arklow	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 20: Kilmichael Point AV approx. 18 degrees Distance 36 km Lower than viewpoints given distance Seen in context with Arlow WF	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 21: Shankill Beach AV approx. 30 degrees Distance 20 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 213 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Viewpoint 22: Three Rock Mountain AV approx. 25 degrees Distance 29 km Sitting above landform	High– Medium V - County S - High	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 23: Maheramore Beach AV approx. 34 degrees Distance 14 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight not significant	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Viewpoint 24: Kilcoole Rock AV approx. 52 degrees Distance 15 km	High– Medium V - County S - High	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight not significant
	Viewpoint 26: Greystones Beach Bear AV 15 degrees Distance 14.7 km	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 214 of 253



Potential impact		Receptor			WTG Option B		Additional	
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	MagnitudeSignificanceof impactof effect		mitigation	effect
	Sits above the horizon							

Page 215 of 253



Table 15-27 Summary of potential impacts and residual effects (Main (Named Settlements))

Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Visual Amenity - Se	ettlements			-	-	-	-	
Construction / deco	ommissioning							
Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape /	Dublin	High– Medium	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
national designated landscapes and visual receptors during construction. Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated	Dun Laoghaire	High– Medium	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Killiney	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Bray	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 216 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	ו B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
landscapes and visual receptors during decommissioning.	Greystones	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide / intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Kilcoole	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Newtown Mount Kennedy	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Wide	Not significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not significant (not significant)
	Newcastle	High– Medium	Negligible Small Short term Wide	Not significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not significant (not significant)
-	Wicklow	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Intermediate / Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Arklow	High– Medium	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short term	Not significant	Low– Negligible	Not significant	Embedded	Not significant

Page 217 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	ו B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Localised	(not significant)		(not significant)		(not significant)
indirect temporary night-time impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during construction. Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night-time impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated	Dublin	HighMedium	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short-term Intermediate/localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Dun Laoghaire	High– Medium	Low–Negligible Medium–Small Short-term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Killiney	High– Medium	Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Bray	High– Medium	Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Greystones	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 218 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	ו B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
decommissioning			Wide / Intermediate					
	Kilcoole	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Newtown Mount Kennedy	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Short term Intermediate	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Newcastle	High– Medium	Negligible Small Short term Wide	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Wicklow	High– Medium	Medium Medium Short term Intermediate / Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Arklow	High– Medium	Low–Negligible Medium Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negilgible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)

Operation / Maintenance

Page 219 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Impact 1: Direct/ indirect long-term, although reversible impacts on	Dublin	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long-term Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	Dun Laoghaire	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long-term Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Killiney	High– Medium	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Bray	High– Medium	Medium Medium Long term Localised / Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Greystones	High– Medium	High Large Long-term Wide	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)

Page 220 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Kilcoole	High– Medium	High Large Long term Wide	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)
	Newtown Mount Kennedy	High– Medium	Low Small–Negligible Long term Wide	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Newcastle	High– Medium	Low Small–Negligible Long term Wide	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Wicklow	High– Medium	High–Medium Large–Medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant
	Arklow	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate- Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
Impact 2: Direct / indirect long term though reversible	Dublin	High– Medium	Low–Negligible Small Long-term	Not Significant	Low– Negligible	Not Significant	Embedded	Not Significant

Page 221 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
night-time impacts on			Localised	(not significant)		(not significant)		(not significant)
seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	Dun Laoghaire	High– Medium	Low–Negligible Small Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Killiney	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Bray	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Greystones	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Wide	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Kilcoole	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Wide	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 222 of 253



Potential impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Newtown Mount Kennedy	High– Medium	Negligible Negligible Long term Wide	Not significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not significant (not significant)
	Newcastle	High– Medium	Low Small–Negligible Long term Wide	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Wicklow	High– Medium	Medium–Low Medium–Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium- Low	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Arklow	High– Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 223 of 253



Table 15-28 Summary of potential impacts and residual effects (Sequential Key Routes)

Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
Visual Amenity	– Sequentia	I Routes						
Construction /	decommissio	ning						
Impact 1:	Key roads							
Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national	R105	High–medium (Medium as well)	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
national designated landscapes and visual receptors during	R807	Medium-Low	Negligible Small Short term Limited	Imperceptible (Not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (Not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (Not significant)
Impact 1 : Direct / indirect temporary impacts on	R131	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
views / seascape / andscape /	R119	Medium	Medium–Low Medium	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 224 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A	L Contraction of the second	WTG Optior	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
townscape and national			Short term Intermediate					
designated landscapes during decommission ing.	R761	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Iocalised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant
-	M11/N11	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	R750	High–Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Railway Lir							I

Page 225 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A	L Contraction of the second seco	WTG Optior	n B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	DART / Dublin to Rosslare	Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Shipping /	ferry/ recreational	routes					
	Northern approach	Medium (also Medium–Low)	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Southern approach	Medium (also Medium–Low)	Medium–Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Key walkin	g routes	·	·			·	·
	Howth Head Loop	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate /localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant

Page 226 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Optior	ו B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	North Bull Wall	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant
	Great South Wall	High–medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Bray- Greyston es Cliff Walk	High–medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Greyston es to Wicklow Trail	High–medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 227 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Optior	ו B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	The Wicklow Way	High–Medium	Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
Impact 2:	Key Roads	5	-	<u>.</u>	-	•	•	-
Direct / indirect temporary night-time impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape /	R105	High–Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors	R807	Medium-Low	Negligible Small Short term Limited	Imperceptible (not significant)	Negligible	Imperceptible (Not significant)	Embedded	Imperceptible (not significant)
during construction. Impact 2: Direct / indirect	R131	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
temporary night-time impacts on	R119	Medium	Medium-Low	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 228 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
seascape / landscape / townscapes / national			Medium Short term Intermediate					
designated landscapes and visual receptors during decommission ing	R761	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	M11/N11	Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	R750	High–Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Railway Lir	nes			•		·	

Page 229 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A	L	WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	DART / Dublin to Rosslare	Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Shipping /	ferry / recreationa	l routes			•		-
	Northern approach	Medium (also Medium–Low)	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Southern approach	Medium (also Medium–Low)	Medium–Low Medium– Small Short term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Key Walkir	ig Routes						
	Howth Head Loop	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant

Page 230 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A	L	WTG Optior	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Intermediate / Localised					
	North Bull Wall	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant
	Great South Wall	High–medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Bray- Greyston es Cliff Walk	High–medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Short term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Greyston es to	High–medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 231 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Optior	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	Wicklow Trail		Short term Wide / Intermediate					
	The Wicklow Way	High–medium	Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Operation / Maintenance

Impact 1:	Key road	ls						
Direct / indirect long- term, although reversible impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance	R105	High–Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Limited	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant
	R807	Medium-Low	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	R131	Medium	Low Small Long term	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 232 of 253



R119 R761	sensitivity Medium Medium	Magnitude of impactLocalisedMediumMediumLong termLocalisedMedium-LowMedium-SmallLong termIntermediate /	Significance of effect Moderate (not significant) Slight (not significant)	Magnitude of impact Medium Medium– Low	Significance of effect Moderate (not significant) Slight (not significant)	Embedded Embedded	effect Moderate (not significant) Slight (not significant)
		Medium Medium Long term Localised Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term	(not significant) Slight (not	Medium-	significant) Slight (not		(not significant) Slight (not
		Medium Long term Localised Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term	(not significant) Slight (not	Medium-	significant) Slight (not		(not significant) Slight (not
R761	Medium	Medium– Small Long term				Embedded	
		Localised					
M11/N11	Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
R750	High–Medium	Medium Medium Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
			R750 High-Medium Medium Long term Intermediate / Localised	R750High–Medium LocalisedMedium Medium Long term IntermediateModerate (not significant)	R750High-Medium LocalisedMedium Medium Long term IntermediateModerate (not significant)Medium Medium Long term Intermediate	R750High-Medium Medium Long term IntermediateModerate (not significant)Medium Medium Medium Medium IntermediateModerate (not significant)Medium 	R750High-MediumMedium Medium Long termModerate (not significant)Medium Medium Medium Long termMedium Medium Medium Long termMedium <b< td=""></b<>

Page 233 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	DART / Dublin to Rosslare	Medium	High–Medium Large– Medium Long term	Moderate (not significant)	High– Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Shipping / 1	ferry / recreationa	Wide I routes					
	Northern approach	Medium (also Medium–Low)	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Southern approach	Medium (also Medium–Low)	Medium Medium Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Key walkin	g routes						
	Howth Head Loop	High	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 234 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A	L	WTG Optior	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	North Bull Wall	High	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Great South Wall	High–Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Limited	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Bray- Greyston es Cliff Walk	High–Medium	High Large Long term Wide/ intermediate	Very significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)
	Greyston es to Wicklow Trail	High–Medium	High Large Long term Wide/ intermediate	Very significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)
	The Wicklow Way	High–Medium	Medium Medium Long term	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 235 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Optior	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Intermediate / Localised					
mpact 2:	Key roads							
Direct / indirect long- term, although reversible night-time impacts on seascape / landscape /	R105	High–Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Long term Limited	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance.	R807	Medium-Low	Negligible Negligible Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	R131	Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	R119	Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 236 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A	L	WTG Option	ו B	Additional	Residual
mpact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
	R761	Medium	Low Small Long term Intermediate Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	M11/N11	Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	R750	High–Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Railway Lir	nes						
	DART / Dublin to Rosslare	Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Wide	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
	Shipping / f	ferry/ recreational	routes					
	Northern approach	Medium	Low– Negligible	Not Significant	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Optior	ו B	Additional	Residual				
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect				
		(also Medium–Low)	Small Long term Localised	(not significant)				(not significant)				
	Southern approach	Medium (also Medium–Low)	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)				
	Key walkin	Key walking routes										
	Howth Head Loop	High	Low– Negligible Small Long term Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)				
	North Bull Wall	High	Low– Negligible Small Long term Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)				
	Great South Wall	High–Medium	Low– Negligible Small Long term	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)				

Page 238 of 253



Potential	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option A		WTG Option	n B	Additional	Residual
impact		sensitivity	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	mitigation	effect
			Localised					
	Bray– Greyston es Cliff Walk	High–Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Greyston es– Wicklow Trail	High–Medium	Medium–Low Medium– Small Long term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	The Wicklow Way	High–Medium	Low Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)

Page 239 of 253



Table 15-29 Summary of potential impacts and residual effects (Visual Receptor Groups)

Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	B	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
Visual Receptor G	roups							
Construction / deco	ommissionin	g						
Impact 1: Direct / indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape/ national designated landscapes and visual receptors during construction.	Visual Receptor Group 1	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 2	High–Medium	Medium- Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
/ indirect temporary impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national	Visual Receptor Group 3	High	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
anustaves and	Visual Receptor	High–Medium	Medium	Moderate (not	Medium	Moderate (not	Embedded	Moderate (not

Page 240 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	В	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
visual receptors during decommissioning	Group 4		Large Short term Wide	significant)		significant)		significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 5	High–Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 6	High–Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 7	High–Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 8	High–Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 241 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	В	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
Immont 2 : Direct (Visual Receptor Group 9	High–Medium	Medium Large– Medium Short term Wide	Moderate adverse (not significant)	Medium	Moderate adverse (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate adverse (not significant)
Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night-time impacts on seascape / landscape / townscape / national designated	Visual Receptor Group 1	High	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight–Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Slight–Not significant (not significant)
landscapes and visual receptors during construction.	Visual Receptor Group 2	High–Medium	Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant)
Impact 2: Direct / indirect temporary night- time impacts seascape landscape / townscape/ national	Visual Receptor Group 3	High	Medium– Low Medium Short term Intermediate / Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 242 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	В	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
designated landscapes during decommissioning.	Visual Receptor Group 4	High–Medium	Medium Large Short term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 5	High–Medium	Medium Medium Short term Wide / Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 6	High–Medium	Medium– Low Medium Short ter Intermediate	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 7	High–Medium	Low– Negligible Medium– Small Short term Intermediate / Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 8	High–Medium	Medium– Low Medium	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 243 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	В	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
			Short term Intermediate					
	Visual Receptor Group 9	High–Medium	Medium Medium Short term Intermediate	Moderate adverse (not significant)	Medium	Moderate adverse (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate adverse (not significant)
Operation / Mainter	ance							
Impact 1: Direct / indirect long-term, although reversible impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance.	Visual Receptor Group 1	High	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 2	High–Medium	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 3	High	High– Medium Large- medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)

Page 244 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	В	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
	Visual Receptor Group 4	High–Medium	High Large Long term Wide	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 5	High–Medium	High– Medium Large– Medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 6	High–Medium	High– Medium Large- medium Long term Intermediate	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 7	High–Medium	Medium Medium Long term Localised	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 8	High–Medium	High– Medium Large– Medium	Significant (significant)	High– Medium	Significant (significant)	Embedded	Significant (significant)

Page 245 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	В	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
			Long term Intermediate					
	Visual Receptor Group 9	High-Medium	High Large Long term Wide	Very Significant (significant)	High	Very Significant (significant)	Embedded	Very Significant (significant
Impact 2: Direct / indirect long-term, although reversible nighttime impacts on seascape / landscape/ townscape / national designated landscapes and visual receptors during operation / maintenance.	Visual Receptor Group 1	High	Low– Negligible Small Long term Localised	Not Significant (not significant)	Low– Negligible	Not Significant (not significant)	Embedded	Not Significant (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 2	High–Medium	Low Small Long term Localised	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant
	Visual Receptor Group 3	High	Medium– Low Medium– Small Long term Intermediate	Moderate (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)
	Visual Receptor Group 4	High–Medium	Medium– Low	Moderate- Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant)

Page 246 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	Receptor	WTG Option	Α	WTG Option	В	Additional	Residual
		Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
			Medium– Small					
			Long term					
			Intermediate					
	Visual Receptor	High–Medium	Medium– Low	Moderate– Slight (not	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not
	Group 5		Medium– Small	significant)				significant
			Long term					
			Intermediate					
	Visual Receptor Group 6	High–Medium	Medium– Low Medium–	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant
			Small					
			Long term					
			Intermediate					
	Visual Receptor	High–Medium	Low Small	Slight (not significant)	Low	Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Slight (not significant
	Group 7		Long term			g,		g
			Localised					
	Visual Receptor Group 8	High–Medium	Medium– Low Medium– Small	Moderate– Slight (not significant)	Medium– Low	Moderate–Slight (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate– Slight (not significant

Page 247 of 253



Potential Impact	Receptor	r Receptor Sensitivity	WTG Option A		WTG Option B		Additional	Residual
			Magnitude of Impact	Significance of effect	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	Mitigation	effect
-			Long term Intermediate					
	Visual Receptor Group 9	High-Medium	Medium Medium Long term Wide	Moderate (not significant)	Medium	Moderate (not significant)	Embedded	Moderate (not significant)

Page 248 of 253